

QUIT CLAIM DEED TRANSFERRING THE ASSETS OF THE UNITED STATES TREASURY TO THE IMF

Happy Fourth of July! Be sure to have your friends and family READ this so they know what they celebrated on the 4th with Fireworks and Taxes!

By Teamlaw

Our family enjoys fireworks; yet, sometimes I wonder, what are people today celebrating? Do they even know? Are we celebrating war by shooting off beautiful explosions of light, sound and smoke reminiscent of “bombshells bursting in air”? Are we celebrating Independence from Great Britain? Or, have we forgotten what we’re celebrating; so, we just celebrate for the sake of the celebration?

On the Fourth of July, 1776, the 13 independent States of North America united in a Congress, through their plenipotentiary delegates and signed the Declaration of Independence. As separate States, united in their cause for freedom from tyranny, they went to war as a nation. For the first time these unbound States stood together to fight a war, without a single document to bind them as a nation. At the cause of defending their Liberty, they together declared their Independence; knowing they would likely give their all, even if they could survive—but their chances of survival were slim.

But what is Liberty? What did it mean? What does it mean now? What is this Independence they fought and gave their all for? We are taught that for hundreds of years Great Britain

thought of this nation simply as one of their colonies and during that time, it grew to become one of their most lucratively productive assets.

But the people that lived here were, for the most part, independent land patent secured self-governed landowners. In other words, they were independent sovereign landowners. Though the Magna Carta had little effect on the people in Great Britain (it only deals with sovereign rights), the people here recognized it as descriptive of their sovereign rights. Respectively, their land patents secured their sovereign Title to the Land itself, which permanently secured their sovereign right to self-government. Still, the people remained in debt to Great Britain, which debt was used to control both the people and the states they formed. Through debt bound contracts, Great Britain was able to glean 50% of the people’s production from the land. As the people formed governments, those governments also borrowed from Great Britain and British control, by debt bound contracts, remained elemental to American existence.

The French American War threatened to end Great Britain’s commerce with America. Thus, England sent troops to defend the Americans (and their contracts). With British support, France lost the French American war;

but, England separately remained at war with France and so retained troops in America to assure the French would not return. Great Britain had very few forts here so to house their troops they began to compel the people to quarter British troops in their homes. That caused the necessity for compelling the people to relinquish their arms to British quartermasters to avoid armed hostilities between troops and disgruntled colonists”. The troops that remained progressively violated the people’s rights until the people would take no more. The people began to believe war was immanent so they secretly began to stockpile supplies hidden from British supervision.

Meanwhile, back in England, the people were rebelling against high tax burden set to pay for the war in America, and the English Parliament and King were looking for ways to shift the burden on the American people. The problem was, Great Britain had no right to rule here and could only lawfully control the people here in accord with the contracts they had already established. But, that did not stop them from trying many ways of shifting the tax burden to the American people. In 1765, they tried the to impose a “Stamp Act” to impose a tax on many goods; but, the American people had no representation in the British

Parliament, none of the members of that Parliament were land owners and 80% of the Americans owned over 50 acres of land—thus, the people were outraged and regularly hijacked and destroyed the stamps before they could be put to use. In 1766, the Stamp Act was repealed; but Parliament then passed the “Declaratory Act”, declaring they had the right to enact laws for the “13 colonies” in America. Then in 1767, Parliament passed the “Townsend Acts”, which required taxes on several specific products like paper, paint, glass and tea. Parliament thought the American people would accept this Act because it reduced the number of products taxed and proposed to remove the expense of certain government officials from the people. The people saw it as a formal attempt to take away their right to self-government. This caused hot rebellion against Great Britain’s attempted usurpation. In 1768, Great Britain responded by sending more troops to maintain the peace (and to secure control). In Boston (British headquarters city), on March 5th, 1770, some of the people were harassing British soldiers and began throwing snowballs; fray broke out and the soldiers shot and killed five people. Great Britain removed the soldiers to try them

See ‘Quit Claim’ Continued on Page 5



SUPREME COURT RULES IN FAVOR OF GUN OWNERSHIP RIGHTS

Can You Imagine... ... an Air Turbine Engine in your car that burns no fuel and emits no exhaust!

WASHINGTON - The Supreme Court ruled Thursday (6-27-08) that Americans have a constitutional right to keep guns in their homes for self-defense, the justices' first major pronouncement on gun control in U.S. history.

The court’s 5-4 ruling struck down the District of Columbia’s 32-year-old ban on handguns as incompatible with gun rights under the Second Amendment. The decision went further than even the Bush administration wanted, but probably leaves most firearms restrictions intact.

The court had not conclusively interpreted the Second Amendment since its ratification in 1791. The amendment reads: “A well regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free state, the right of the people to keep and bear arms, shall not be infringed.”

The basic issue for the justices was whether the amendment protects an individual’s right to own guns no matter what, or whether that right is somehow tied to service in a state militia. Writing for the majority, Justice Antonin Scalia said that an individual right to bear arms is supported by “the historical narrative” both before and after the Second Amendment was adopted.

The Constitution does not permit “the absolute prohibition of handguns held and used for self-defense in the home,” Scalia said. The court also struck down Washington’s requirement that firearms be equipped with trigger locks or kept disassembled, but left intact the licensing of guns. Scalia noted that the handgun is Americans’ preferred weapon of self-defense in part because “it can be pointed at a burglar with

See ‘GUN’ Continued on Page 3



John McCain, as reported on June 19th, as President, would press for the construction of 100 nuclear reactors by 2030, pledging 2 billion a year of federal funds (your tax money!) to clean-up coal burning... all to reduce a dependence on foreign oil! The ‘AIR-TURBINE ENGINE’ burns no fuel, emits no polluting exhaust and can be retrofitted to any size; for cars, boats, airplanes, homes, apartment buildings, high-rises, submarines and even ELECTRICAL GENERATION PLANTS with no fuel expense or exhaust! Over 29 federal agencies and major corporations were notified of the AIR-TURBINE ENGINE and all have gone silent with respect to the greatest technological invention/design of this type of ‘turbine-engine’ in our time that works! DOES GOVERNMENT HAVE YOUR BEST INTEREST IN MIND (5.00 A GSALLON GAS!) AND THAT OF THE PLANET???

By Anton Bernhardt

The future has arrived with the solution to our world’s energy needs. The Aerodynamic Air Turbine Engine (AATE), aptly named “The Crystal Ion”, will be the energy revolution we have been waiting for. Clean energy utilizing the force of nature to create powerful and sustainable motion with no detrimental environmental impact is here. The air we breathe is the same air that drives the AATE; no wind required. This is not a perpetual motion machine. When I first learned of Rockwell Scientific Research L.L.C. (RSR) and the AATE, I was very skeptical. The more I thought about it the more my feelings mixed. Can this be real? My thoughts torn between my disbelief and belief. I became more exhilarated as the myriad of potential uses flooded my neuron pathways; an engine that runs on ambient air, without any air tanks, combustion or fuel cells, producing no exhaust. The thoughts thrilled me to no end. I just had to see the engine for myself.

I will forever remember the day I actually saw the engine running with my

own eyes. As un-believable as it first appeared my skepticism quickly turned to enthusiasm that was so totally overwhelming I nearly wet myself. Here was the future, right before me. The engine hummed along at 30k plus RPM



without any fuel or exhaust. The clear design of the casing afforded a detailed view if the interior. There were no electronics inside except for the LEDs. As the throttle revved the engine up and down it was clear to me that this was genuine and not a hoax.

See ‘IMAGINE’ Continued on Page 6

Published Bi-monthly and sent to Subscribers in every State in the American Republic!

www.americansbulletin.com

Our Mailing Location Is:
The American's Bulletin
c/o P.O. Box 3096
Central Point, OR 97502

Publisher/Editor/Director
Robert Kelly
Phone: 541.779.7709
FAX: 541.773.9574

Advertising Dept. &
Affiliate Program
Joyus-Apollo Diamond
advertising@ameri-
cansbulletin.com

Book Order & Subscription Dept
Leif, Customer Service Dept
541.773.2756
bookorders@ameri-
cansbulletin.com

Bookkeeping Dept.
Marianne, Administrator
Message: 541.773.2756
info@americansbulletin.com

ISA Dept.
Kim, Administrator
Message: 541.779.7709
isa@americansbulletin.com

Note: Emails should be specifically only for that department/person. Send all other emails to info@americansbulletin.com

When leaving a message about orders or problems please leave a detailed information and don't forget to leave your phone number.

All Material which is mailed to or received by TAB grants permission to reprint and becomes the property of TAB. All articles are considered for publication. Due to space requirements we reserve the right to edit articles while maintaining context. For orders and subscriptions please send cash, or postal money order ("Pay To" line blank or to TAB). This paper is published on a bi-monthly basis. Phone calls are monitored for quality and performance.

Thank you for your patronage.
The American's Bulletin
is covered under
(c) 1998 common law copyright

•DISCLAIMER•

The American's Bulletin is a 'forum' through which others present their articles on informative matters and opinions. The American's Bulletin and 'any' staff does not endorse or support every article, position, argument, or product published or advertised herein.

We are not attorneys (nor would we want to be one) and we do not 'practice' law nor do we give 'legal advice.'

The American's Bulletin will not be held liable for any action(s) resulting from articles, information or advertisements published in this paper.

The American's Bulletin supports its right to inform and educate its subscribers and readers of any information it deems necessary which might assist such reader in becoming more informed, educated and competent in his/her own private matters and dealings with government and its 'agents.'

By receiving and/or reading this paper, the reader fully accepts the terms of this disclaimer and agrees not to take any action whatsoever against The American's Bulletin or any of its 'staff' or volunteers.

Any information published or presented herein is not intended to be anti-government, tax protesting or the like.

The American's Bulletin advocates that one should pay all lawful taxes that one is required by Law to pay and obey all laws to which one is subject. It is up to the 'individual' to determine if he/she is mandated to pay or is subject. The American's Bulletin advocates if you are the Creditor, then by all means, accept the 'fine,' 'fee,' or 'tax' for value and discharge the same.

All books and materials advertised herein are for educational purposes only and are tendered via donation.


The American's Bulletin does not gather information to be used against our subscribers by any government entity, to our knowledge.

No man or woman, person, entity or otherwise may speak for or represent *The American's Bulletin* in any capacity without the prior written consent or authorization from the editor.

those who carry *American's Bulletin* Press Cards are 'independent' investigative reporters only and are not employees of The American's Bulletin.

WRITE HARD - DIE FREE!

From the EDITOR



Dear Subscribers and Readers:

Well, I woke up yesterday to \$4.37 a gallon for gas and half the year has zipped on by! But our Country is in distress. From the declaration of Martial Law imposed by Abraham Lincoln, to the National Emergency declared in 1933, the States then declared the same, whereby "freedoms guaranteed by the Constitution have been abridged by states of National Emergency."

But the political puppets running for office nor Congress has any intentions of the correction of such, rather, any way to enhance the continuous emergency allows them to maintain the dysfunctional managed American-Way-of-Life, in a distorted manner to regulate, control, tax and otherwise to deprive the American people of "a guaranteed right to Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness"!

And that's just the way it is in America today! But many will have lit the Fireworks on the 4th of July, only to celebrate their servitude. Little do they know!

Then there's the GAS price issue. It's all controlled, even though the price of gas today is equivalent to the value of silver (a 25¢ piece), it is certainly affecting the overall economy and making extremely difficult for people trying to survive in these times. And since the government is making no attempts to correct it, as there is plenty of oil in the U.S., Canada, Alaska, it must be presumed that is planned to affect you in the pocket-book in relation to the fact the mega-oil companies are making billions!

Then there's the AIR -TURBINE ENGINE. We have published three articles on this engine, and still, due to the warnings of Global warming, the whining of high gas prices, the comments by political mouth pieces that 'we must find and use any technology to reduce pollution and increase gas mileage', however, there is no interest or activity of the governments nod, support, or funding to get the 'engine' into the market place! Go figure! But we understand! Go to> airturbineengine.com to see the engine run!

But since we are on the GAS issue, it is either lunacy or stupidity on the part of the so-called federal government to not only allow this to happen, but failing miserably to prevent it... starting 10 years ago! All this could have been prevented by ensuring the ongoing search for oil in the U.S., Canada, Alaska, etc., and there's plenty, as well directing the major Oil Companies to build a few more gas refinery plants in the U.S.! If we as a Country, with the vast amount of oil here, cannot have our so-called government servants, take all necessary steps to ensure adequate oil reserves as well as refining, so as to maintain 'our' control over such a commodity.

And what about those Carburetors ('Pogh' and others) designed and built to give 150 to 300 miles a gallon! Oh, they were bought up by the Major Petroleum Companies and/or the federal government and either tucked away in some vault somewhere or destroyed. And their designer/builders hushed up or shot! Modus operandi for those threatened by a technology to give inexpensive energy tools and gadgets to the people for the least amount of expense. Gee, then those Oil Magnets wouldn't be making Billions a year in profits. And yes, they still drive the SUV's and Hummers cause they can afford the gas... you can't!

Hope you enjoy this issue and find it interesting and informative. And if I didn't say it before... your subscription supports this Free Press Paper... for the evolving sovereign people of America!

Robert Kelly

- Director & Editor

REDEMPTION CONSULTATION-Got QUESTIONS ...with your UCC-1 and other Redemption related matters? The Editor will *CONSULT* with you on a 'time available basis,' on those matters at \$75 per 1 Hour (Hourly Increments). Send funds (cash or blank money order) before appointment is made. Call for appointed time. Said consultation is not to be construed as legal advice! **CALL 1-541-779-7709**

ARTICLE SUBMISSION PROCESS:

All articles submitted and published are presumed to be true, correct and all points, facts, cases, dates, etc. deemed to be correct. Due to the nature of some articles, an author may be required to submit an affidavit relative to the facts and basis of article and agree to hold The American's Bulletin harmless from any legal or commercial action. ALL ARTICLES [to be considered for publication] MUST BE submitted to The American's Bulletin; c/o Post Office box 3096, Central Point, Oregon [97502]:

- On a computer disk or an Electronic file submitted as e-mail attachment [americansbulletin@yahoo.com] ARTICLES ONLY!
- In letter format [not to exceed 1,200 words], single-spaced, 10 point, Times Roman typeface, and Microsoft word or ascii documents ONLY
- Separate paragraphs with a carriage return. Left justification (ragged right) only. Remember to include only one space after punctuation.
- Articles must be proofed for both spelling and grammar.
- Include an actual or approximate word count with your submission.

There can be NO EXCEPTIONS to the above process, as this is necessary to provide enough lead time to prepare The American's Bulletin in a timely fashion and continue to provide our readers with a valuable and timely publication.

The American's Bulletin Does Not Accept Checks!

Payment by Money Order made out to 'The American's Bulletin,' or LEFT BLANK. Dollar amount would determine being sent by Certified Mail!

IMPORTANT NOTICE:

When communicating with the Bulletin please include your name, EMAIL address, and phone number with all correspondence. All monies recieved from past issues of older prices will be pro-rated at the current subscription rate and time.

Thank You.

**THE ONLY
AMERICA
FIRST,
TRUTH
EXPOSING,
WAKE UP
AND SMELL
THE COFFEE,
FREE PRESS
PAPER,
FOR THE
SOVEREIGN
AMERICAN
PEOPLE!**

**PLEASE
SUBSCRIBE
TODAY!**



Promote Your Product or Service In The American's Bulletin

*Reach thousands of like minded, potential customers
for your product or service!*

Place YOUR Display Today

Rates: Camera Ready Art ONLY

	Size:	Rate:
Full Page	10 1/4" W x 15 3/4" H	\$ 585.00
1/2 Page	10 1/4" W x 7 7/8" H	\$ 312.00
1/4 Page	10 1/4" W x 4" H	\$ 163.00
3 Column x 2"	6" W x 2" H	\$ 45.00
2 Column x 2"	4 1/8" W x 2" H	\$ 30.00
1 Column x 2"	1 15/16" W x 2" H	\$ 12.00

Issue:

January/February
March/April
May/June
July/August
September/October
November/December

Closing Deadline

December 15th
February 15th
April 15th
June 15th
August 15th
September 15th

For current Rate Card showing *ALL* sizes available, call or email joyusapollo@americansbulletin.com or send a SASE to address below.

Multiple-Issue Placements Discount Rates Available

Payment:

Full payment *must* accompany Ad: Cash [Send secured or certified mail], Check or Postal Money Order - Payable to The American's Bulletin, To:

The American's Bulletin
C/o P.O. Box 3096, Central Point, Oregon [97502]
541.779.7709 Voice/FAX

Rates subject to change without notice. Please send samples of advertised material for legal evaluation. The American's Bulletin does not assume any legal responsibility for advertiser's offers, shipments, material, neglect, or any other problem(s)

Thank you, The Editor

SUBSCRIPTION EXPIRED?

The Date behind your Name is the EXPIRATION DATE! Renew Early
Don't Miss Upcoming Issues
CHECK YOUR MAILING LABEL!

Media Subterfuge of Ron Paul

Though Mainstream Media Black-balls Ron Paul... .. there's You Tube!

Hi. I'm Jerry Day. There seems to be a big reality gap in the Presidential Race, like an elephant in the kitchen and no one wants to say anything. I was looking around Youtube and I noticed something remarkable. I'm going to show you some web data and I think when I'm done, you'll agree that there is something dreadfully wrong with what the broadcast media has told us about the Presidential Race. Let us look at all the major presidential candidates and see how many Youtube videos each of them has as of mid-April 2008. John McCain has over 19,000 videos. Way to go, John! Oops, Hillary Clinton has over 72,000! Sorry, John! Oh my gosh, Barack Obama has almost 85,000 on Youtube! More than McCain or Hillary, Obama IS the man.

Wait a minute. Just for fun, let's look at Ron Paul... What? 117,000 videos? Ron Paul is by far the leading candidate in Youtube videos, with a margin of 37% over the next leading candidate? This seems absolutely crazy. If that was true, that means that the mainstream media is not only ignorant of relative candidates popularity, they'd be deliberately suppressing Ron Paul against the other candidates in terms of their coverage.

We need to go somewhere where there's better data.

Ah.Google Trends. The lesser-know web search-reporting site that Google doesn't publicize too much. But this may be as powerful. Just so you know how this works, put in any two search terms and you will instantly see a chart showing the relative web traffic comparing the two search terms all the way back to January 2004. Google Trends puts football in blue and basketball in red. This chart shows that football gets 2 to 4 times the web search volume that basketball does. And you can see the web searches surge every year in logical, seasonal patterns for both sports. Down here, it shows us the comparative tracking of news media hits on the two topics. You see similar seasonal patterns, but the media coverage rises more steadily towards the final playoffs, while the fan interest reacts more sharply around the times of the key games. So, we will get to the bottom of this Ron Paul thing right now.

Youtube said that Barack Obama and Ron Paul were the two front-runners. So let's see the chart on Google searches for those two. Ron Paul was twice as popular as Barack Obama from April through November of 2007. So Youtube was right on. It's not crazy.

So how did Obama get

this huge spike in December against Ron Paul? The chart below says that the mainstream news media gave Obama 8 or 10 times the news coverage as they gave Ron Paul. When the media started their "Obama Love Fest", Ron Paul

Harris or Gallup, have a sample size of 2 or 3 thousand a few times a year. Google Searches are in the millions every day. Media polls cannot touch this for accuracy. And obviously looking at Ron Paul's actual popularity, bias plays

The question is not "whether" but "who" is controlling media content and why.

was three times more popular than Obama. Relentless and lopsided media hammering for a few months manages to flip that. Notice that the media chart is squashed vertically. Obama's popularity went up about the same amount as his media coverage. Ron Paul's Internet popularity has consistently been 3 to 5 times greater than that of his media coverage. We like him whether the media does or not.

And that's not true of any of the other candidates. They are toast without their media coverage.

Ron Paul's self-generated popularity is astronomically higher than that of Obama's. So here's what gives me the Willies: When the mainstream print and broadcast media isn't telling us who to vote for and we're allowed to talk among ourselves, we make very different choices than what the media tells us to make. This chart clearly shows that the media has consistently under-reported Ron Paul but he remains massively popular on the Internet anyway. So Youtube and Google, which operate independently, come up with the same answer: Ron Paul has achieved spectacular, superior popularity on the Internet in spite of massive and inexplicable media suppression.

Imagine what Ron Paul's popularity would be if the mainstream media treated him equally.

Just for a last, quick reality check. Let us look at Ron Paul against the other candidates on Google Searches:

Here is Hillary Clinton in Blue. Just like Obama, she trailed badly against Ron Paul for most of 2007 until the broadcast media put a huge preferential push behind Clinton in December of that year, as the media all but abandoned Ron Paul. Hillary Clinton's media coverage has been a little less than Obama's and her popularity slipped below Obama in perfect synchronization with that difference in broadcast coverage. These charts are very accurate in tracking general popularity relative to media coverage. As you see exactly where Obama's blue line climbed past Hillary at the same general time that he pulled ahead in the primaries.

Most political polls, like

a very large part in media polling.

Media polls reported him in single digits while he skyrocketed in popularity all over the web, above all other candidates. So here's the real news that we've seen throughout this campaign: At the times when Ron Paul is vastly more

‘GUN’
Continued From Page 3

gun regulations, including a federal law restricting sales of machine guns. Other laws keep felons from buying guns and provide for an instant background check.

White House reaction was restrained. "We're pleased that the Supreme Court affirmed that the Second Amendment protects the right of Americans to keep and bear arms," White House spokesman Tony Fratto said

Scalia said nothing in Thursday's ruling should "cast doubt on long-standing prohibitions on the possession of firearms by felons or the mentally ill, or laws forbidding the carrying of firearms in sensitive places such as schools and

popular than all other candidates, the major media does everything possible to bury him.

The question is not "whether" but "who" is controlling media content and why. Here is Ron Paul against John McCain. The last two thirds of 2007, Ron Paul SLAUGHTERS McCain, even when McCain is supposedly making his comeback. McCain has barely managed by April of 2008 to edge out Ron Paul after having enjoyed many times the media coverage of Ron Paul for months on end. Throughout this presidential campaign, Ron Paul's Internet popularity has been by far the highest relative to his media coverage compared to any other candidate. No one even remotely approaches his self-generated popularity.

What would explain Ron Paul's astounding success on the Internet, far more sensational yet when you correct for the broadcast media

government buildings." In a concluding paragraph to the his 64-page opinion, Scalia said the justices in the majority "are aware of the problem of handgun violence in this country" and believe the Constitution "leaves the District of Columbia a variety of tools for combating that problem, including some measures regulating handguns."

The law adopted by Washington's city council in 1976 bars residents from owning handguns unless they had one before the law took effect. Shotguns and rifles may be kept in homes, if they are registered, kept unloaded and either disassembled or equipped with trigger locks.

Opponents of the law have said it prevents residents from defending themselves. The

imbalance? It is what Ron Paul says. He is the only candidate who understands economics, who understands the war in Iraq, who understands the views and concerns of most Americans and is able to express the realities that so badly need expressing. While all the other candidates take meaningless, rhetorical positions or no positions at all on the things that matter most.

Go to Youtube. Search for Ron Paul. Click on a few videos and you will see why the corporate media is afraid of him. You will see why the American public – at least the majority of the few hundred million of them on the Internet – say that Ron Paul is the only decent choice we will have in 2008.

.....I'm Jerry Day, television producer in Burbank, California.



Washington government says no one would be prosecuted for a gun law violation in cases of self-defense.

The last Supreme Court ruling on the topic came in 1939 in U.S. v. Miller, which involved a sawed-off shotgun. Constitutional scholars disagree over what that case means but agree it did not squarely answer the question of individual versus collective rights.

Forty-four state constitutions contain some form of gun rights, which are not affected by the court's consideration of Washington's restrictions. The case is District of Columbia v. Heller, 07-290.



Information for That Favored Class: US TAXPAYERS

ADD TO THIS LIST THE MONEY IT COSTS US JUST TO HAVE THE UN BUILDING ON OUR SHORES!

Below are the actual voting records of various Arabic/Islamic States which are recorded in both the US State Department and United Nations records:

Kuwait votes against the United States 67% of the time • Qatar votes against the United States 67% of the time • Morocco votes against the United States 70% of the time • United Arab Emirates votes against the U. S. 70% of the time • Jordan votes against the United States 71% of the time • Tunisia votes against the United States 71% of the time • Saudi Arabia votes against the United States 73% of the time • Yemen votes against the United States 74% of the time • Algeria votes against the United States 74% of the time • Oman votes against the United States 74% of the time • Sudan votes against the United States 75% of the time • Pakistan votes against the United States 75% of the time • Libya votes against the United States 76% of the time • Egypt votes against the United States 79% of the time • Lebanon votes against the United States 80% of the time • India votes against the United States 81% of the time • Syria votes against the United States 84% of the time • Mauritania votes against the United States 87% of the time.

US Foreign Aid to those that hate us:

Egypt, for example, after voting 79% of the time against the United States, still receives \$2 billion annually in US Foreign Aid • Jordan votes 71% against the United States and receives \$192,814,000 annually in US Foreign Aid • Pakistan votes 75% against the United States and receives \$6,721,000 annually in US Foreign Aid • India votes 81% against the United States and receives \$143,699,000 annually.

Perhaps it is time to get out of the UN and give the tax savings back to the American workers who have to skimp and sacrifice to pay the taxes and now much higher gasoline and higher gas taxes!

Pass this information along to every taxpaying citizen/subject of U.S., Inc. Maybe they too will know how disgusting this is!



The American's Bulletin

...America first truth exposing-wake-up and smell the coffee Free Press news paper in the country TODAY!

It isn't just Travel Documents...

Int'l Driver Permits (IDPs), Writs to Travel, Motorist Qualifications (MQs), Automobile Trusts, Various IDs, Sovereignty documents . . .




Now you can become debt free, file your UCC 1, find a notary, and get simple justice with our administrative process!

Find out how at www.6towns.com/driving

No Internet access? Call 570-343-0432

VITAL SITE FOR SMALL BUSINESSES

www.explodeyourbottomline.org



GET YOUR Secured Party Creditor ID Card TODAY!

Don't Leave Home Without It!

Everyday the Secured Party/Creditor (SPC) is involved in commerce! Outside your front door there is a World 'a buzz' in that commerce and in that World, there are only DEBTORS or CREDITORS! In your travels and transactions, it may be necessary to identify yourself as the Secured Party/Creditor – in Traffic stops, to clerks of the court, in transactions to 'exempt' the SALES TAX on a purchase, or in any other manner to identify YOUR SPC status!

Send your: Name, address, Phone Number, email Address (if any), Date & State UCC-1 Filed in, Filing Number & Expiration Date, Name of DEBTOR, Date of Birth, I.D. Info; Ht – Wt – Eyes – Hair – and 1 Passport Photo and your 'signature' in Black Ink (straight and easy to read). **Send:** \$75 FRN debt units or Postal or other well known Money Order To:

The American's Bulletin
P.O. 3096 Central Point, Oregon 97502

TO PUT IT SIMPLY AS POSSIBLE:

The IRS 'income' tax is a laid upon 'gain or profit' upon the receiving of interest earned in bank accounts of Corporations and of 'citizen-subjects' and the tax is laid upon the 'artificial corporate person' (Debtor/Straw-man) of the 'citizen-subjects' of which the 'taxable activity code' of their Debtor is found on the IRS IMF form/file whereby the corporate/Debtor is actively involved in a taxable activity in Guam, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands or other off-shore Territories of United States, Inc., of the which the tax so-called paid via the IRS Form 1040 (being bogus/fraudulent having no appropriate OMB Number) only goes to pay on the National Debt owed to the Creditors, including but not limited to the War debts and others since the Revolutionary War, to England. The IRS was established and inserted into U.S. Government due to the U.S. Bankruptcy of 1933 by presumed direction of the Queen of England... to be the bean-counters for the tracking and accounting of all transactions and otherwise for the adjustment of the accounts, for the tax to the Queen and for some-day settlement in the future since no lawful constitutional money of exchange having value is in circulation for the people to 'Pat Debts at Law'.

QUESTION: Who there among you within the corporate venues of so-called government and their attorneys can rebut the above with fact and law that the public servant corporations have the Right to tax the sovereign people in their common law labors and occupations and that there is lawful money to pay such debts at law and that there is no such bankruptcy or 'state of receivership' in operation against the American People without their consent?

Appropriate rebuttal can be mailed to:
The American's Bulletin
P.O. Box 3096, Central Point, Oregon 97502

‘Quit Claim’

Continued From Page 5

foundational document, The Articles of Confederation, that document recognized this nation as a nation made up of independent sovereign united States, and gave the name to the new nation as: "The United States of America".

Many of the people of this new nation felt that it was wrong to leave England. Sure there were rights violations but those were livable and their future was a certainty as an English Colony. Now that they were on their own nothing at all was sure.

Over the next ten years conditions in this country continually got worse. The individual States gave little regard to any other State and paid nearly no attention at all to the central government. After ten years of independence from England conditions were far worse than they had ever been under England's rule and protection. Many wanted government officials to go back to England and beg the King to take us back, and they almost did. Again, in the Prelude to Glory: Vol. 7: The Impending Storm you'll discover the starvation and destitution the Articles of Confederation left our country in after the war and the necessity of our Constitution to save the nation. It was incredible! Other historical sources regarding the conditions our country was in at this time are, Are We to Be a Nation?, by Richard B. Bernstein; The American States During & After the Revolution, 1775-1789, by Allan Nevins; The Sovereign States, 1775-1783, by Jackson Turner Main.

"To form a more perfect Union"
Allowing Great Britain to retake the nation was considered too severe without first attempting to resolve the problems of this new nation by getting the States to sit down and work out the problems with the Articles of Confederation. That meeting was finally arranged and each of the Sovereign States gave authority to a few men, Deputies, to sit in convention, and review the present form of government as set in the Articles so as to eliminate its limitations, give sufficient power to the central government to function while still preserving the liberty of the People and autonomy of the States. A trust indenture was formed, it simply began as follows:

"We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America." Continuing with seven Articles and concluding with the signatures of the representative of the twelve states present at the convention. Once agreed to and signed by the individual State's representatives at

the convention, the Trust was formed.

The Trust indenture, with the intent and authority of the people created a "Constitutional Republic" form of government in trust. [Specifically not a democracy is in Iraq and Kosovo]

Though the document had no header its leading paragraph named it this: "Constitution for the United States of America". On, September 17th, 1787, it was resolved by the Convention to take the Trust to the individual States for ratification.

The States conditionally turned the Trust down because it removed their sovereignty and didn't secure man's God-given inherent rights, without which the States would not give up their sovereignty to support the Constitution.

Remember, these individual States were recognized as individual Sovereign States in the Articles of Confederation. That was the principle error with the Articles of Confederation; there was no accountability or control over the individual States—there was no unity. Without accountability whoever was in power simply ignored the central government and moved forward however they saw fit, in violation of individual rights, or not, literally however they saw fit. In essence, they were each literally absolutely powerful kingdoms. [Sovereign by definition]

It was obvious that if something wasn't done to unite the States with a more perfect Union they would be destroyed from within or without. So when offered the Constitutional Republic, the individual sovereign States leaders could see they would no longer be sovereign if they accepted it—but they would be destroyed if they didn't.

Not much of a choice, but the war with England ended only a little over ten years earlier and they couldn't go back, so they demanded that if they were to give up their sovereignty, the people's rights must be preserved from the central government. Thus, they conditionally refused the Trust until the "Bill of Rights" was added.

Therefore, the Constitution was first created to form a Trust commonly known as the government of The United States of America. Government officials were set up within the Trust as Trustees with specific defined responsibilities and functions. The People were made beneficiaries of the Trust and when any government official takes

office he or she is required to swear or affirm an oath of allegiance [make a contract with the people to uphold the Constitution].

Remember, at this point the government was already created in trust, by the signed Constitution and George Washington was already positioned as its President, yet the Trust had nobody sitting in the other offices of government and the States were not willing to support it (give up their sovereignty) and authorize its officers to function with control over them unless the people's rights and the State's rights were secured.

The Deputies reconvened as the First Constitutional Convention and went back to work to draft the requested, Bill of Rights, which were later provided as the First Twelve Amendments to The Constitution of the United States of America (only ten were ratified), a document that was created to bind officers in an Oath to uphold the Trust of the people and secure the peoples rights.

Then The Constitution for the United States of America (still signed and unchanged from its original version as first presented to the states), along with the "Bill of Rights" as the first ten amendments to The Constitution of the United States of America, were returned to the individual States and were ratified by each of those States and returned to the Constitutional Convention where the new government was made fully effective and put in operation on or after, December 15th, 1791, the "Effective date" of The Constitution of the United States of America.

Now let's go back and again review the documents created in the process by name. (Names are about to become very important when we go to the next step and begin to follow the money.)

Here's what happened step by step:
First: There was the Trust, named within its own first paragraph as this, "Constitution for the United States of America". Remember this document is a Trust indenture; it created a Trust called "the government of The United States of America". This document was accepted and signed by all of the Deputies. The signed

See 'QUIT CLAIM'
Continued on Page 16

EUREKA HOUSE OF METALS, LLC

Since 1972

- ♦ Silver & Gold Coin and Bullion
- ♦ Shipped Insured Registered Mail
- ♦ No Sales Tax
- ♦ Privacy

GARY ANDERSON, Dealer
P.O. Box 473, Molalla, OR 97038
916.842.6655



‘Imagine’

Continued From Page 1

What really boggled my mind was the fact that there were no investors beating down the door to become a part of this new energy revolution. Here was the absolute answer to the energy crisis we are currently facing. What is wrong with Americans today? Why would everyone be so willing to continue down the pathway paved by oil, coal and nuclear technologies when that path results in torturing common citizens, reaching deep into their pockets? Why are so many of our fine young men and women dying needlessly for the right to oil? Why are billions of dollars being spent trading Carbon Credits and where is all that cash going? Are people really concerned about their living environment? Politicians are quick to blame industries for what is really political failure.

So how was the AATE re-born? In late April 2005 Ron Rockwell, of RSR, was invited to meet with inventor Haskel Karl who claimed to have built an engine powered only by air. Ron met with Haskel in person and after reviewing all his material (consisting only of photographs, drawings and sketches) and detailed phone discussions. Ron decided to rebuild the engine using current technology. I am sure that skepticism reigned high. However, Ron believed this technology had substantial merit and invited his trusted colleague, friend and fellow machinist Cliff Cruz, to join his team in re-creating the engine. Through twelve years of research and development of various devices in the high tech industry, RSR has developed the AATE. In the early 1960's, Haskel took the original engine he built for testing to Wyle Testing Laboratory. They could not figure out how it worked and requested that he leave it with them so they could further analyze the engine. Haskel refused and headed home with the invention. The AATE was scheduled to be presented to President Kennedy at a special meeting. Before this presentation could take place, the people who worked with Mr. Karl on the engine mysteriously disappeared. Shortly thereafter, the engine also disappeared. There was also talk that China was willing to pay 100 billion dollars for the engine but the deal fell through when a key individual died from a massive

heart attack. Haskel Karl went into hiding, keeping with him the documents, original drawings, and numerous photos of how he built the engine. Now 40 some years later, the engine is re-born.

Although the concept and science behind the engine (Vortex Air Implosion Technology) was not fully understood at that time, the determination was made that a new prototype based on discussions, drawings and photos, could be built. The task was undertaken.

The machine shop of RSR started the initial construction in May of 2005. Work was arduous. Unique parts needed to be manufactured. In order to do so, new tools also needed to be machined in order to manufacture these parts. There was no manual, guidebook or instructions. Instead Ron and his team had to rely on their knowledge, ingenuity and creativity to build the engine. With the latest technology available today, Ron was able to redesign and improve things that could not be done back in the 60's. Through trial and error (not much of the latter) and 3 million dollars later, they finally completed the first functioning prototype in the fall of 2006.

Ecstatic with the results, arrangements were made to travel to Washington DC to present the engine to the Department of Energy in hopes that they would embrace this unprecedented technology. Unfortunately, Mr. Rockwell and Dr. Beverly were met with bureaucratic indifference. Need more be said? The people needed to know that a solution to the world's energy was available and a press release was issued in November to over 200 newspapers and magazines. Again there was huge indifference by the press who where more focused on news of the war and elections. Case in point. That day in Washington at Fox News Channel 5, they spoke with a woman named Sheila who said "unless [they] had a story about the Iraq war or the upcoming elections that Fox probably wouldn't run a story on it", "those [are] the 2 most pressing issues that people wanted to hear about". Unbelievable! It is this kind of attitude and indifference towards true solutions for peace and clean energy that have prevented this country and our world from realizing its

See 'IMAGINE'
Continued on Page 14

IRS Loses Case

A Loss so devastating, that a Federal Judge said that "if everyone did what this person did, it would be the end of this government!"

... And he didn't even use a lawyer!

- See how this person proved that he was not a taxpayer as defined by the IRS Code.
- Find out how you to can do the same.
- We provide all the evidence necessary.
- Remember, if you're not a "taxpayer" as defined by the Code, nothing in the IRS Code applies to you.
- Stop employers from being unlawful withholding agents!
- Stop Liens, Levy's, seizures and attachments.
- One call does it all.

A new and unique program to deal with IRS Problems! How to Avoid Income Taxes Lawfully!

THE FREEDOM FOUNDATION
Defenders of the Constitution

For More Information
CALL 401-226-5961

THE FEDERALIST PAPERS Number 86

THE STATE OF THE UNION UNDER A FAILED CONSTITUTION

By PUBLIUS II

The time has come when it is necessary for someone to take upon himself the task of bringing to the attention of his fellow citizens that those who are sworn to uphold the Constitution are not doing so. That as a result the Nation is embarked on a very dangerous course, the ill effects in terms of financial cost,¹ emotional cost and loss of constitutional rights, can be seen everywhere. This writer proposes to make his case to his fellow Citizens by writing a series of articles under the banner of the Federalist, numbered in sequence after those written by James Madison and Alexander Hamilton. They signed: "PUBLIUS." This writer will sign: "PUBLIUS II."

THE PROBLEM: The nation does not now and has not for some years experienced constitutional or representative government. That is because notwithstanding that the US Constitution was specifically written to prevent any single "same hands" group from accumulating all powers of government, one particular group has succeeded in doing precisely that.

James Madison, author of the US Constitution, wrote :² "No political truth is certainly of greater intrinsic value or is stamped with the authority of more enlightened patrons of liberty than that... the accumulation of all powers legislative, executive and judiciary in the same hands, whether of one, a few or many, and whether hereditary, self appointed, or elective, may justly be pronounced the very definition of tyranny." **For then the laws are made not to serve justice, but rather to serve the personal profit of those who make them.** To avoid the "same hands" accumulation of power, the Constitution incorporated a system of "separation of powers" and "checks and balances". This system created three separate branches of government. The Legislative (Congress), which makes the laws, the Judiciary (the Courts), which interprets the laws and the Executive (the Presidency), which enforces the laws. By separating the powers of government in this manner it was intended that each branch would serve as a "check" and "balance" to the powers of the other two. This

was done in order to make certain that the government would never possess sufficient power to oppress the people.

However for many years now, all three branches of government and the powers they command to control all government,³ legislative,⁴ executive⁵ and judiciary have effectively "accumulated in the same hands". Those "same hands" belong to the legal profession. As a result the "same hands" lawyer/judges now make the laws, interpret the laws and enforce the laws, thus defeating the spirit, intent and purpose of the Constitution. Such control by this or any other group, is unconstitutional because it violates both the separation of powers/checks and balances principles of the Constitution and the principle of representative government.

These constitutional violations strike at the very heart and soul of the US Constitution. These violations emasculate the Bill of Rights, create an elitist class similar to the European aristocracy of the eighteenth century, unaccountable to anyone but themselves. These violations enable both the Government and the elitist class, under color of law, to oppress the people, in ways too numerous to catalogue in a single article. The control acquired has also seriously undermined the integrity of the legal profession. Fortunately the profession still contains a substantial number of very honest individuals upon whom the nation can rely for the furthering of this just cause.

The solution: The solution lies in returning constitutional government to the United States by ascertaining that members of the legal profession not be permitted to exercise control over either the Executive or the Legislative branches of government. The solution can be achieved through the ballot box by voting lawyers out of office, or through the courts, by constitutionally challenging their election to the non-judiciary branches of government. Lawyers would continue to function in all other areas as before. In other words constitutional government requires the people to control the legal profession, not the legal profession to control the people.

The reader is asked to remember that space limita-

tions control the writer's ability to fully document arguments made. Let us begin with an examination of our rights as citizens under our Declaration of Independence: *The Declaration of Independence holds certain truths to be self evident, "that all men are created equal; that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights; that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. That to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed; that, whenever any form of government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the right of the people to alter or abolish it, and to institute a new government, laying it's foundations on such principles, and organizing it's powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness."*⁶ It is clear at the present time that the nation's government has become destructive of the ends intended in the Declaration of Independence. The people are more distrustful of their elected officials than ever before, and deeply disturbed with government's inability to provide them with many of their rights under the Constitution. Among which are: Honest government, moral leadership, security, freedom from oppression, proper education, affordable access to the nation's system of justice, and affordable health care. **It is therefore the right of the people to alter the government.**⁷ (In this case only to enforce the Constitution as written). What is unclear to the people is what to do or how to do it. The fundamental source of the nation's problems is not easily apparent. That source does not principally lie in the flawed nature of particular individuals who are elected to government office, for all human beings lack perfection.

Rather the "flaw" lies with the way in which the "system" itself is being made to function by those responsible for its functioning. The "systemic flaw" is that the nation, although generally unaware of it, has elected to effective control of the Legislative and Executive branches of government, a plurality or majority of the "same hands" legal profession, as have already acquired absolute control of the Judiciary Branch of government. It is the members of the legal profession who swore an oath to uphold the Constitution.⁸ It is to them that the nation looks for protection from the oppression of government. It is they who bear the full responsibility of bringing to the nation's attention that the Constitution prohibits single group "same hands" control and that such control has occurred. They have done neither. Instead they have both acquired unconstitutional control for themselves and concealed the fact from the nation. Yet it is probable that many in the profession are not even aware of what has occurred.

For many years the legal profession has proceeded, unchallenged and unchecked, knowingly or not, with a his-

tory of constitutional violations and abuses against the people of the United States. These activities escalated in the last half century with the establishing of the so-called "Integrated Bars" in the individual States,⁹ to which all practicing lawyers were required by law to belong, thus making every lawyer and judge "a part" of the judiciary. "Integrated Bars" were unconstitutionally¹⁰ created by the Judiciary Branches of the States as an "arm" of the State Supreme Courts. After which State Constitutions were amended to transfer the admission and disciplining of lawyers and judges to the Judiciary Branch of Government of the individual States.¹¹ Thus the legal profession became accountable to none but it's own peer group, unlike any other profession in the land. These and similar activities, whether by design or otherwise, produced a consolidation of all government power in the hands of the legal profession resulting in the following:

1. The profession has acquired virtually unlimited political power in the land, and with that the ability to make laws to serve its personal profit rather than justice.

2. The profession has maximized its ability to acquire the highest possible share of the nation's wealth for itself.

3. The profession has collectively though not individually, become the most corrupt, least respected and according to it's own surveys, least trusted profession in the land.¹²

4. The profession has (perhaps unwittingly), imposed on the nation enormous secondary costs essential for protection from the predatory nature of the profession.¹³

5. Members of the profession, sworn to uphold the Constitution and the concepts of representative government and separation of powers, have (perhaps unwittingly for many) violated their oath by creating and operating a government, substantially without either.

The pursuit of power and control of government by the legal profession is the natural expression of any group's attempt to maximize its own members power and financial rewards. That is human nature. That is why the Constitution is opposed to any "single interest group" acquiring such control, whether tinker, tailor, soldier, sailor, lawyer, doctor or native American chief. What has occurred though not a "conspiracy", does have precisely the same effects. In law that is known as a "constructive conspiracy."

These matters raise a number of critical questions: I. How and when did the profession acquire control? II. What are the abuses that allowed such control and the abuses that now afflict the nation? III. Why is the nation still generally unaware of the existence of the problem or how serious it is? IV. Who specifically is responsible? V. What can and should be done about it?

Subsequent articles will address these questions. Most important at this point is for the nation to become aware that as a direct result of the legal profession's unconstitutional control of all government an abundance of laws have been enacted, interpreted and enforced, for the personal profit of the profession, not


justice. This imposes on the people of this nation a very high financial and emotional cost, as well as substantially depriving the people of their ability to exercise their full constitutional rights in any of the following areas:

1. Access constitutional remedies under the Bill of Rights, or 2. Gain reasonable access to the nation's courts, or 3. Exercise their first amendment right of free speech, or 4. Be free from a corrupt judiciary, or 5. Be free from the oppression of meritless lawsuits, or 6. Receive a fair trial, or 7. Live reasonably free from crime, or 8. Enjoy the right of self-determination through State constitutional amendments, or 9. Access affordable health care, or 10. Access safe and meaningful universal education, or 11. Access divorce without war, or 12. Receive fair treatment in bankruptcies, or 13. Receive fair treatment in the adoption of children, or 14. Be free from the criminalization of activities not criminal anywhere else in the civilized world, or 15. Be free from oppressive and unreasonable regulation imposed by bureaucrats immune from accountability and the democratic process 16. Have the President pick his judges and Supreme Court Justices free of unwarranted influence, as well as many other areas too numerous to mention. Excluding lawyers, and any other "same hands" group that may emerge, from the Executive and Legislative branches will correct the problem. A similar problem existed in Britain in 1832.¹⁴ There the British Lords (called Peers), controlled both the House of Lords and the House of Commons until they were excluded by Law and/or practice. The solutions called for here will do the same for this nation as excluding Peers from the Commons did for the British. This writer is merely calling for action tried and true and the application of sound and well established historical legal precedent. The first step and purpose of these articles in achieving either solution, requires informing and educating the people about the nature and extent of the problem, and how to resolve it.

About the author. This writer became aware of the problem in 1985. The views presented here were first developed and articulated by this writer in 1989. This writer has become a constitutional scholar in pursuit of the justice of this cause. Sufficiently so that Professor Albert Blaustein,¹⁵ a world renowned constitutional lawyer, international consultant, and prolific author of numerous books on the law, having never before heard the proposals articulated here, was persuaded that this writer's views are sound and should prevail in a court of Law, and has said so in writing. This writer is President and co-founder of a national organization dedicated to the restoring of constitutional and representative government. This writer like James Madison, loves the law but is not a lawyer. This writer, like Alexander Hamilton, is an immigrant and a naturalized American citizen.

Estimates of financial costs

See 'NUMBER 86'
Continued on Page 18



The Temple Of Imaginary Money

A clever "comic book" explaining money, usury and banking in an easy-to-understand way. Only \$3.00 each.

Order your copy, plus extra copies for your friends and relatives from:

Kingdom Identity Ministries
P.O. Box 1021
Harrison, Arkansas 72602

www.kingdomidentity.com

Perfect Untax Packet

Guaranteed to work

Escape federal income tax - Bankrupt social security - Most state income taxes

Contact: Bob 864-306-7728 • www.voluntarytax.info

DID THE LEGISLATURE INTEND TO LICENSE HIGHWAY USE BY THE PUBLIC?

By Richard L. Koenig

A discussion is going on today about whether the Motor Vehicle Laws of Oregon, or any other state, were drafted with an intent to regulate and raise revenue from the general public. Those who contend that this is the case, will repeat the well worn language we've all been exposed to from high school on: "Driving is a privilege," which, of course, means a law somewhere, says that public servants down at the DMV can sell you the privilege, after you pass the tests. The problem with this approach to discussion is that some one is being quoted, who, in turn, quoted someone else. At some point rational people who intend to have a thoughtful discussion will remember, "Oh, that's second or third hand hearsay." Beyond the hearsay, approach used by those who contend that "everybody has to have a driver license"; there are not many rational arguments to support that contention. On the other hand, there are several arguments that can be made which, while acknowledging the truth that "driving is a privilege", certainly do not mean that the legislature intended to license people universally, or generally, as a condition of using the highway for vehicular travel.

It is interesting to note that those with what would appear to be the greatest financial stake in the discussion, the public servants who collect DMV fees, enforce motor vehicle laws and the "judges" who impose fines in traffic court, are the least likely to participate. When the question is placed on the table before one of these folks, the contribution they are usually going to make is, "It's all there in the statutes, go look it up yourself", which is exactly no contribution at all. This article reviews several arguments in support of the contention that the legislature has never enacted a law subjecting the public to "privileged" highway use as a revenue-raising device, nor for their health, safety, and welfare. Hopefully this article will facilitate the ability of those who may decide that participation in this discussion is essential to a society that holds freedom, or self-determination as a cherished value. This article cannot present all the evidence, nor even cite all relevant authorities in support of highway use by the public as a matter of right. That kind of effort would constitute volumes of material. It is hoped that the evidence and cited authorities in support of each of the arguments covered in this article will be regarded as keys, which may

be used to unlock storehouses of information on the subject of the "liberty of locomotion." With Internet search engines at society's fingertips; these storehouses of information are only minutes or seconds away. Local law libraries and even the county library systems are just waiting to be used. There is a certain advantage to having a stack of open books on a table so that the investigator can flip back and forth between references without having to "close a window." For convenient coffee table discussion, the several arguments will be designated as follows: Fundamental; Historic; Legislative Construction. "Fundamental" is a term frequently applied to organizational documents like the "constitutions" of political bodies. The first sentence of the Oregon Constitution says that "... all power is inherent in the people..." and the reasons they instituted government in the first place were for their own "... peace, safety and happiness". What is not contained in this opening statement, nor anywhere else in the somewhat lengthy document, is a statement that the people intended to regulate or grant privileges to them. From a purely logical, no frills, perspective, the creator of government, "the people," who "institute all free governments," cannot be less than,

or subservient to, their own creation. The government that was created by the people for their own peace, safety, and happiness does what it is supposed to do, because certain individuals have stepped forward to serve the people's needs. Though becoming a less popular term in modern times, these individuals are known, traditionally, as "public servants." Imagine a public servant, or any other servant for that matter, proposing to take his master's money and, in exchange, granting the master a privilege to use his own "public highway." The concept that a public servant is endowed with the ability to grant the "privilege to drive" to anyone in the legal category, "the public," is known as an oxymoron, or things that can not exist in the same space at the same time because they are mutually exclusive. Article I of Oregon's Constitution is known as the "Bill of Rights". The prominent location of the Oregon Bill of Rights is in contrast to the federal "Bill of Rights," which is referred to as "amendments," because it comes after the sections describing the limits of government. Section 34 of Oregon's upfront Bill of Rights prohibits slavery or involuntary servitude. Slavery and involuntary servitude exist when someone exerts a claim on the productive capacity of another. In the case of slavery, the claim may extend to complete control over the slave, replacing "free will" with the will of the master. When a public servant can demand the fruit of the public's labor in the form of mandatory fees before granting a privilege to come and go in the course of associating with friends and

relatives, traveling to places of worship, or to seats of government to petition for redress to grievance, that is what slavery is. Slavery like this cannot exist in a society of free people who are equal in right, and in whom all power is inherent. This simple, fundamental analysis is the most compelling argument that the people's delegated law makers have never intended to pass any law, which universally requires a "driver license" to use the highways for vehicular travel. Yes, but the other side of the argument will protest, if we do not license people, and restrict them by laws applicable to drivers, there will be chaos. Will there be such an assertion is irrelevant to the historic intent of the legislature, but the first response should be: If you found out tomorrow that the Vehicle Code was not written to govern you, would you suddenly be divested of your good common sense, of the physical ability or the respect you have for your fellow man, which all figure into how you use the public ways, roads and streets today? When confronted with this question, most people will admit that they would continue pretty much the same as before. Some may even say they might be a little more cautious, which is a good thing. Ours is a society founded on principles, including that everyone is presumed innocent until proven guilty. In the event that the "chaos" advocate claims to be a member of this society, he or she will have to conclude that everybody else will probably continue to use his or her vehicles responsibly as well.

See 'HIGHWAY'
Continued on Page 17

THE ULTIMATE DELUSION

By: Stephen Ames

What people do not know is that the so called Founding Fathers and King George were working hand-in-hand to bring the people of America to their knees, to install a Central Government over them and to bind them to a debt that could not be paid. First off you have to understand that the UNITED STATES is a corporation and that it existed before the Revolutionary war. See Republics v. Sweers 1 Dallas 43. and 28 U.S.C. 3002 (15) The United States is not a land mass, it is a corporation. Now, you also have to realize

that King George was not just the King of England, he was also the King of France. Treaty of Peace * U.S. 8 Statutes at Large 80. On January 22, 1783 Congress ratified a contract for the repayment of 21 loans that the UNITED STATES had already received dating from February 28, 1778 to July 5, 1782. Now the UNITED STATES Inc. owes the King money which is due January 1, 1788 from King George via France. King George funded both sides of the Revolutionary War. Now the Articles of Confederation which were declared in force March 1, 1781 States in Article 12:

"All bills of credit emitted, monies borrowed, and debts contracted by, or under the authority of Congress, before the assembling of the United States, in pursuance of the present confederation, shall be deemed and considered a charge against the United States, for payment and satisfaction whereof the said United States and the public faith are hereby solemnly pledged." The Articles of Confederation acknowledge the debt owed to King George. Now after losing the Revolutionary War, even though the War was nothing more than a move to turn the people into debtors for the King, the conquest was not yet complete. Now the loans were coming due and so a meeting was convened in Annapolis, Maryland, to discuss the economic instability of the country under the Articles of Confederation. Only five States come to the meet-

ing, but there is a call for another meeting to take place in Philadelphia the following year with the express purpose of revising the Articles of Confederation On February 21, 1787 Congress gave approval of the meeting to take place in Philadelphia on May 14, 1787, to revise the Articles of Confederation. Something had to be done about the mounting debt. Little did the people know that the so-called founding fathers were going to reorganize the United States because it was Bankrupt. On September 17, 1787 twelve State delegates approve the Constitution. The States have now become Constitutors. Constitutor: In the civil law, one who, by simple agreement, becomes responsible for the payment of another's debt. Blacks Law Dictionary 6th Ed. The States were now liable for the debt owed to the King, but the people of America were not because they were not a party to the Constitution because it was never put to them for a vote. See APFN web page <http://www.apfn.org/apfn/money.htm>

On August 4th, 1790 an Act was passed which was Titled.-An Act making provision for the payment of the Debt of the United States. This can be found at 1 U.S. Statutes at Large pages 138-178. This Act for all intents and purposes abolished the States and Created the Districts. If you don't believe it look it up. The Act set up Federal Districts, here in Pennsylvania we got two. In this Act each District was assigned a portion of the debt. The next step was for the states to reorganize their governments which most did in 1790. This had to be done because the States needed to legally bind the people to the debt. The original State Constitutions were never submitted to the people for a vote. So the governments wrote new constitutions and submitted them to people for a vote thereby binding the people to the debts owed to Great Britain. The people became See 'Delusion'
Continued on Page 20

SPECIAL COUNSEL ID

- 'self-authenticating' -

The image shows a 'Special Counsel ID Card' for Gorge Boosh. It includes a photo of a man in a suit, his name, and a signature. The card is labeled 'SPECIAL COUNSEL' and 'ATTORNEY-IN-FACT'. It also lists legal areas: 'Private Attorney General Enforcing U.S.C. Title 42 CIVIL RIGHTS or CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS and/or TORT CLAIMS and INTERNATIONAL LAW'.

Now you can show the ID that matches your status of becoming or being a para-legal in the private application of either Criminal Complaints, Title 42, CAFV, Tort Claim or other legal actions in their statutory tribunals or administrative agencies. You're a 'private attorney general'...so carry the Special Counsel ID Card... TODAY it's a must!

Send ID information- Name & Address, Ht, WT, Color of Hair, Eyes, a straight 'Black ink' signature - easy to read! And a Sharp Color Picture w/light background - Men with jacket & tie - women; nice top - & Postal Money Order for \$75.00 - shipping included. Send to:

The American's Bulletin
P.O. Box 3096
Central Point, Oregon 97502

Allow two to three weeks for processing and delivery

Use Our Paperwork to Get the Advantage

Paper Advantage

over Corporations and Government

Yes, you are tangled up in a web of laws, statutes, codes, regulations and rules. But so is everybody else! Your opponent (corporate or governmental) is subject to even MORE of these pesky things than you are. They can roll over you ONLY if you don't know how to make them stumble. And their attorneys don't know how to litigate "outside the box." We use these facts so YOU WIN!

Check for upcoming seminar info:
September 20-21, 2008
Las Vegas, NV

We Offer Books, Tapes, Seminars, and Consulting on:

- 🔑 **Foreclosure** - Jamming the Gears (our #1 specialty!)
- 🔑 **Criminal Defense** - Using the System to Tie Up the System (our #2 specialty!)
- 🔑 **IRS** - How do they fail? Let me count the ways
- 🔑 **Void Judgments** - Get Relief
- 🔑 **Redemption** - Cracking the Code

and many other subjects.

www.paperadvantage.org

THE END OF JUSTICE

By Janet C. Phelan
The American's Bulletin

The stories below have a common thread—that certain parties are not receiving justice in the American judicial system, but are, in fact, being targeted by that very system. In that regard, the caveats of “equal protection under the law” and “justice is blind” are revealed as hollow and false. What is increasingly apparent is that the laws apply to some people and others are simply out of luck.

As America reels under the assault by the U.S. government, via the Patriot Act, the Military Commissions Act and the revocation of habeas corpus, these stories reveal a similar theme—certain people, for reasons either obvious or obscure, no longer have legal protection for life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. Life (and death) on the plantation has again become a reality, 21st century style.

Item #1: Corinne Bramson never received notice that her grandson was applying for guardianship of the elderly woman. In January of 2007, Chad Tendrich went into court, ex parte, making allegations that his grandmother was incapacitated and being “influenced” by one of Bramson’s daughters, Bonnie Reiter. With no prior knowledge or legal notice of a proceeding which robbed her of her rights, Bramson was put under guardianship by Judge Harrison, a retired judge who was pinch-hitting for the regular judge.

Under guardianship, a person’s rights and funds are transferred to the “care” of the guardian. The guardian then exercises the transferred “rights” to issue checks, make investments and may make life and death decisions for the alleged incapacitated person.

When Chad Tendrich applied for the guardianship of Bramson, he neglected to mention to the court that his mother, Jackie Tendrich, also a daughter of Corinne Bramson, was under active investigation by the Palm Beach Police for stealing money from Bramson. While the Palm Beach County Sheriff’s office found probable cause to pursue Jackie in this matter, the guardianship essentially derailed the charges. The State’s attorney was to later drop the case, because Corinne Bramson had died.

A professional guardian-

ship company, CARESOURCE, took dominion over Bramson, and the guardianship quickly turned both expensive and deadly. The guardianship and Bramson lasted twelve weeks. In the last two weeks, Bramson was placed into hospice, with a bogus diagnosis of colon cancer. In fact, Bramson was never diagnosed with colon cancer. TAB has retrieved the medical evaluation done by Dr. Towbin, which lists a diagnosis of colitis, not cancer. Mysteriously, Dr. Towbin’s diagnosis was never submitted to hospice, nor was the lab work from Delray Medical Center, which confirmed the diagnosis of ulcerated colitis. Nevertheless, hospice accepted Bramson into their program, without a diagnosis of terminal illness, where she was plied with morphine. She succumbed in ten days.

Twelve weeks of guardianship cost her estate over \$250,000. The guardianship also cost Corinne Bramson her life.


Item #2: On April 30, 2008, Commissioner Joan Burgess made legal history in a probate court proceeding in Riverside County Superior Court (RIP 080974). In an act that potentially revolutionizes the very structure of our legal proceedings and constitutional protections, Commissioner Joan Burgess overturned a decision made in an entirely different county, by Los Angeles County Superior Court Judge Lynda Lefkowitz, two years prior, without the issue ever appearing on Burgess’ docket and without considering evidence or witness testimony.

The implications of Burgess’s act are earthshaking. Let’s look at her violation of legal jurisdictional protocols and see how this could play out for you, or me, or anyone of us....

Say, for example, that you are accused of shoplifting in one county. The judge hears the testimony and tenders a decision that you are not guilty. However, if the shopkeeper is dissatisfied with that verdict, he could, under Commissioner Joan Burgess’ practice of the law, simply run next door to a judge in a neighboring county and whisper in his ear, or maybe drop a few bucks in his coffers, and suddenly, you have been found guilty in

the eyes of the law, without the matter ever being called in front of that judge.

A comparable scenario actually happened on April 30, 2008 in Department 10 of Riverside Superior Court, 4050 E. Main Street, at 8:40 a.m., over the continued protest of a party who reminded the judge that she had no jurisdiction to review or revoke a decision in a separate county court. Burgess responded by threatening the party with termination of the telephonic



appearance if she didn’t “stop talking” and protesting that the commissioner was violating her rights.

Item #3: Richard Fine was one of the most awarded and honored attorneys in Southern California. A graduate of the University of Chicago School of

Law, he went on to receive his PhD from the London School of Economics. He was certified to practice law at the Hague and had served on the Los Angeles Consular Corps, as well as working for the U.S. Department of Justice, early on in his career. He had specialized as a taxpayer’s advocate attorney and had become quite successful at suing various government entities.

He uncovered the fact that the judges in L.A. Superior Court were receiving kick-backs from the County and deciding cases against the County in favor of the County. When he began to challenge the jurisdiction of the judges to hear cases against the County, The State Bar of California summarily declared him inactive.

The opinion by the State Bar is archived on the California State Bar website, which uses the “moral turpitude” clause to support the Bar’s decision to remove this celebrated attorney from the practice of law. Essentially, Richard Fine was declared ineligible for “filing motions,” and utilizing the justice system in a manner which inflamed the State Bar (and very likely the judges getting the kick backs).

On May 2, 2008, Fine filed a case in U.S. District Court to have the moral turpitude law declared unconstitutional, and to strike down the law

which states that, if the State Bar hearing committee recommends disbarment, the lawyer in question is automatically declared inactive. Fine contends that this law is unconstitutional, for it allows no due process hearing and no notice of charges.

Fine’s case is a case of “first instance,” and has repercussions for lawyers (and their clients) across the country. In an interview on June 4, 2008, Fine stated, “this goes to the heart of the right to petition the government to redress grievances.” He further stated that “the State Bar is trying to stop lawyers from doing their job.”

Item #4: Claudia Montelione, a notary in Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania, is now sitting in Dauphin County Jail after a complex series of maneuvers by the State Attorney General’s office, in collusion with Dauphin County Judge Lawrence F. Clark.

Montelione, who was doing business under the name “Penny Pincher Express,” received notification from the Consumer Protection Bureau of the Attorney General’s office to turn over her records to that office, including her personal

and public files. Montelione responded with a letter requesting clarification. Specifically, she wanted to know why the Bureau wanted her files, and whether the investigation was civil or criminal. She also wanted to know under what authority the Bureau was conducting the investigation. The Bureau responded with a subpoena, stating she was non-responsive.

Montelione then issued a CAFV to Kathryn H. Silcox, Deputy AG in the Pennsylvania AG’s office. By failing to respond, the Attorney General went into default on January 21, 2008.

It should be noted that the Attorney General of the Great State of Pennsylvania does not have an oath of office on file.

The government charged ahead. In a hearing on March 4, 2008, Judge Lawrence Clark clearly prosecuted the case from the bench, while the Deputy Attorney General and Prosecutor Michael C. Gerdes sat silent (Silcox had substituted out). While Montelione appeared with counsel, that counsel was disqualified by Judge Clark, who threatened counsel with the sheriff if he

See ‘JUSTICE’

Continued on Page 20

THE DRILL-NOTHING CONGRESS

China and Cuba drill 60 miles from Key West, Fla.

By INVESTOR’S BUSINESS
DAILY June 09, 2008

Energy: The average price for regular gas hits over \$4 a gallon over the weekend. Gas prices have risen 75% since Nancy Pelosi took over. Where’s the energy independence Democrats promised two years ago?

In November of 2006, House Speaker-elect Nancy Pelosi issued a press release touting the Democrats’ “common-sense plan to help bring down skyrocketing gas prices.” She accused the oil companies of “price gouging.”

The price of gasoline when the Democrats took control of Congress was around \$2.25 per gallon. The average price of regular gas crept over the \$4-per-gallon barrier over the weekend, as measured by AAA and the Oil Price Information Service.

That represents a more than 75% increase in the retail price of a gallon of gasoline on

Pelosi’s watch.

Call it the “Pelosi premium” we’re all now paying.

It’s a problem driven by domestic supply restrictions imposed by the Democrat Congress in the face of growing worldwide demand.

The Democrats preach energy independence while they do everything in their power to prevent it. If the American people truly want change, this would be it.

A Gallup poll released in May showed that 57% of the American people wanted the U.S. to drill in coastal and wilderness areas. The percentage of Americans who bought Pelosi’s line about price gouging fell from 34% in May 2007 to 20% in May 2008.

It could be a winning issue for the Republicans and John McCain. More than 15 billion barrels of oil have been sent down the Alaskan pipeline from Prudhoe Bay, some 60 miles to the west of ANWR, over the past three decades, much more than the six months’ supply expected in the beginning by those who predicted a similar environmental disaster there.

The local caribou and other critters have thrived. Yet, Pelosi and the Democrats want to keep ANWR’s estimated 10.6 billion barrels of oil off the market and out of our gas tanks.

Buried in a Department of Interior Appropriations bill passed in December 2007 was an amendment proposed by Rep. Mark Udall, D-Colo., passed by a 219-215 vote in June, that prevented the establishment of regulations for leasing lands to drill for oil shale.

The Western U.S. is estimated to have reserves of a trillion barrels (yes, that’s the real number) trapped in porous shale rock, an amount three times the oil reserves of Saudi Arabia.

On May 15, 2008, the Senate Appropriations Committee in a 15-14 party line vote rejected an amendment by Sen. Wayne Allard, R-Colo., to allow oil shale drilling and overturn the Udall moratorium.


The U.S. Congress has voted consistently to keep 85% of America’s offshore oil and gas off-limits, while China and Cuba drill 60 miles from Key West, Fla.

The U.S. Minerals Management Service says that the restricted areas contain 86

See ‘DRILL’

Continued on Page 22

KNOW YOUR TRANSFORMING WORLD



Cyborgenics confronts us with past, present, and highly possible-if not inevitable-future realities as we observe a trend in fast becoming one with our incredibly shrinking machines seeking to improve upon our humanity.

An extraordinary presentation/exposé of our transformation towards a global culture...with rare insight into the true connection between biology, belief, and the postmodern man.

Hanson provides a fresh, relevant, yet concise summary of key issues we face today — in a way we can better grasp as well as simplify for others.

Cyborgenics is a must read for those whose hearts are set towards influencing their world for a sustainable, beneficial future.

Available at bbotw.com, amazon.com, and all other major book retailer websites, or by calling toll-free at 1-877-BUY-BOOK (289-2665)

ISBN-10: 0741437708 # ISBN-13: 978-0741437709





Law Enforcement Official vs. Peace Officer

By, Sharon Lee; Shields

"My lessons are reflections of current government policies and procedures, and the consequences that they have on our lives; I believe that all men and women on the outside of the political prisons and on the inside of the political prisons deserve access to current government policies and procedures because 'knowledge' is truth and are powerful tools for changing collective consciousness just by thinking differently about a current event offers us opportunities to new attitudes to react differently about a current event that was historically cast in stone, by shattering a myth it exposes a dangerous political circumstance such as the truth about "law enforcement", where today I'd much rather live in an attitude of being a "prisoner of faith and hope" than exist in an attitude of being a "prisoner of fear and despair."

—Sharon Lee; Shields

Dishonorable Law Enforcement Officials

Americans are living in fear for their safety and lives, along with that of their families and animals over a rise in current "law enforcement officials" abusive and destructive patterns and practices.

Law Enforcement Officials are de facto (void of fact) officials, (research official vs. officer) having replaced America's de jure Peace Officers, readily evidenced in the blue and white vehicles which are representative of displaying United Nations (U.N.) colors on our land which is in disharmony and conflict with substantive laws and our Old Glory colors of "red, white and blue."

Law Enforcement Officials are impersonating Peace Officers because they dishonor us and ignore original treaties, the Bill of Rights and Declaration of Independence; most evident when Americans' are pulled over along side roads or highways surrounded by several U.N. Official cars for perhaps as little as a correction of a steering wheel. Law enforcement officials are brainwashed and conditioned well in advance of climbing into one of those new blue and white U.N vehicles, hitting the streets searching for void of fact and authority private law breakers!

Each Law Enforcement Official is trained on America's soil and sanctioned by U.N 'blue and white' colors, having mandatory procedural processes to fill revenue quotas, in other words create and collect money! Americans have no idea of the maze of mandatory agencies, classes and programs they're subjected to for a single administrative violation.

I compare these times with the movie "The Mask of Zorro", where a rogue military, dressed in de jure military uniform had been bought and paid for by private government Officials (not Officers); and while impersonating the de jure military it gained control over the people. Some tolerated THEIR presence, while others realized THEIR dishonesty, ruthlessness and evil presence and avoided THEM for fear of being killed for their indifference and knowledge.

Both the military and government Officials were nothing more than thieves and renegades driven by power and greed, stealing gold belonging to California people; working men, women and children in gold mines, clubbing, enslaving and starving them to rags, physical exhaustion and then death, ultimately planning annihilation of all the cultures of people after private agendas had been fulfilled. Any bells ringing here?

Food for Thought: Natural resources of a country belong to the people; not the government.

Alaska clearly operates in the capacity of STATE OF ALASKA (Private Corporation), and is rich in examples of 'LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS' corruption, extortion, fraud, tyranny and unbridled brutality both in and out of court, on all administrative levels, as exemplified:

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS travel in packs and "if" pulled over while traveling by one of THEM expect swarms of U.N. LAW OFFICIALS to show up, like attacking angry bees. THEY travel in packs because each situation "is" as the PACK tells it; because EACH ONE is a witness to what "you" have done to them!

Near Fairbanks a middle aged woman, peaceful, void of legal contact or trouble, lived on her own off the beaten path (common in Alaska). She had two big mixed breed dogs that she loved dearly as her friends and companions. She drove an old pickup into town twice a month to pick up mail and buy groceries. Late one afternoon she loaded her dogs in the back of the pickup and headed for town. The non-vicious dogs were happy, wagging their tails, going for a joy ride. Each dog loved people and was accustomed to staying in the back of the pickup at both stops. However, on this day on the way to town, traveling on Hwy 3, she leaned over to grab something off the floor, swerving slightly, not enough to even go off the road; but immediately a LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL pulled tight to her truck's bumper with sirens blaring and lights flashing. When it was safe, she pulled over. Her two dogs were wagging their tails and happy, waiting to greet the OFFICIAL. While searching for usual documents the OFFICIAL would demand, she heard shots being fired and then her dogs screaming and thrashing around in the bed of the pick up. The LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL had slaughtered (murdered) both her dogs.

Crazy with confusion she jumping out of her pickup trying to climb over the side of the pickup to get to her dogs; not posing any threat to the OFFICIAL; hysterically wild with grief she tried reaching for one dogs that was still alive screaming a dying gasp. The LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL immediately demanded the women stand still, don't move, put your hands above your head, but the women couldn't hear HIS demands because her mind was stunned and in shock trying to grasp that her

dogs had just been slaughtered for an unjustified invasion. The OFFICIAL clubbed the woman, pushed her to the ground; face and mouth smashed into Alaska's volcanic ash-dirt; and then transported her, dazed and grief stricken to jail, leaving her pick up with her two dead dogs along side the highway. When the case was brought to an alleged trial court (pathetic disgraces) the court failed to inquire "why" she was pulled over in the first place; but concluded that jailing the woman was justifiable for resisting arrest, as the LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL explained; and HE was also justified in slaughtering her two dogs, her family, because they posed a threat to HIM; a common scenario and excuse well exercised in Alaska and all over North America.

In Wasilla a 911 call was made after a family dispute broke out. The young daughter had a female Pit Bull companion with new puppies. The female Pit Bull dog was known throughout the neighborhood as sweet and non-aggressive, even with puppies, the dog never showed aggression to anyone not even strangers when playing outdoors with the young girl.

Busting into the home the LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS first shot and killed the Pit Bull mother and all of the puppies while nursing the puppies in a contained big box. The young girl was hysterical which resulted in permanent trauma from the OFFICIALS' unjust murdering of her companion and friend. Again, according to the court the timid and sweet mother dog and her puppies slaughter in their own box were justifiable because they posed a threat to the LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL. I often question "why" OFFICIALS entering domestic situations don't first demand that family pets (dogs) be contained in a separate room? Then, I realized that question contained common sense; which is not protocol or required, anymore.

Alaska communities quickly learned of the two scenarios, and it became evident that it wasn't safe to take family pets on joy rides, anymore. Still, one night a man who lives outside of Willow loaded up his two 95% Wolf dogs that were long time family pets, begging to go for a ride in the back of the pickup to the post office as they were accustomed to doing for years. So, the man gave-in to the two dogs and his fourteen year old son all left the ranch and were traveling on Hwy 3 heading for the post office. The family was very well versed in the patterns and practices of LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS of today, to the point that a rule had been made that calling 911 was NOT an option in their home. Instead a list of family, friends and neighbors phone numbers was hung next to the phone to use in case of any emergency.

Traveling the speed limit the man over corrected the steering wheel only to find his truck immediately tailgated by a female LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL with lights flashing

and sirens blaring. In a quandary over how to handle the volatile situation because he knew his dogs would be shot just because of their breed. The man and his son discussed a plan to defuse the dangerous situation: he would pull to the side of the road, jump out and demand the two dogs go to the son's side of the truck, to an opened door where the son would coax the dogs to jump into the pickup; while the man maintained conversation with the OFFICIAL explaining to HER his plan to save his dogs, begging HER NOT to shoot the family dogs.

The intensity at the scene by the drama of the OFFICIAL escalated with loud mega phone demands, confusion and negative energy that filled the air; fear escalated in the man over the danger his dogs and now son was in. Their plan went into effect, the man got out of his pickup before the OFFICIAL got out of HER car, reached over to the dogs trying to calm them while ordering them to the son's side of the pickup; but the dogs stayed with the man, smelling and searching his man trying to pick up on the danger. His plan failed. So, quickly, to save his dogs he ordered his son back into the truck, opened his side of the four-door pickup, grabbed each dog and threw them into the back seat against their will, slamming the door shut behind them.

All the while the LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL repeatedly tasered the man's back and sides to the point that one taser gun broke and a second was provided by the second U.N. OFFICIAL who showed up. The dogs went ballistic inside the pickup because the two OFFICIALS continued shouting the usual demands to get down on the ground, hands above your head; spread your legs, and physically knocked the man around. The man was big and strong and by sheer will and determination to save his dogs, his body held up during the intense violent taserings until he got his dogs to safety, then he collapsed onto the ground. The son stunned by what he would witnessed jumped out of the truck demanding THEY quit taserings his father; but that too escalated into the OFFICIALS' drawing and pointing their guns at the fourteen-year-old boy.

The boy had called his mother from a cellular phone and she drove up in time to see guns pointed at her son and her husband pinned to the ground. His jeans and white tee shirt covered in blood, with huge red welts the size of

tennis balls protruding all over his back and sides, with the family dogs clawing and ripping at the doors of the pickup trying to get out to protect the family.

The man was arrested, customary revenue creating and collecting practices. Many showed up to the hearing to support the man in the absurdity and injustice at the so-called Palmer court, which was/is nothing more than a dog and pony show of revenue collecting. The U.N. OFFICIAL wasn't charged with anything because SHE claimed that SHE was threatened and defended herself. What was his charge?

Why, resisting arrest, of course!

What reason did THEY give for stopping the man in the first place? It was explained that THEY don't need a reason to stop anyone, it's their right!

Last scenario: A handicapped man, very active and verbal in exposing Alaska's LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS for THEIR less than ethical, less than constitutional practices, was pulled over late one evening in what is known as the Hope Turn Out, and immediately surrounded by several U.N. LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS who shot and killed the handicapped man in his car. The LAW ENFORCEMENT GANG claimed the shooting (murder) was justifiable because the man (unable to even get out of his car) was a threat to THEM. ALL WERE ACQUITTED OF ANY WRONG DOING!

For those inquiring just how dangerous and out of control has U.N. LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS become to the peace, safety and stability of our communities and country, they need not wonder any longer because for the few scenarios described from Alaska there are thousands, even millions more untold and have been an undisclosed common practice all over North America.

The foreign "colors" on the vehicles, the wild brutality and irrational violations of rights, breaking and entering void of lawful (codified law) authority are all evidence of a foreign jurisdictions (uncodified law) operating simultaneously by a legal system governing a specific group of persons: LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS.

Do legal systems of uncodified administrative rules, codes and statutes have lawful authority over us? Research the terms "legal vs. lawful" and you will have your answer.

Many inquired about my opinion on "Barack Obama's" choice for a running mate. I have only one thought: There is only running one who has not disgraced and betrayed us: Ron Paul; so pray that Obama picks Ron Paul for his running mate, and then vote for him. Vote for Ron Paul, anyway!

Sharon Lee; Shields,
American national Michigan
Send comments and questions
regarding this article to:
Post Office Box 3472
Central Point, Oregon
[circa 97502]



POST CONVICTION REMEDIES

By Aaron Coats, \$160.00 includes shipping & handling
Over 1000 pages of Redemption remedies.

One Man Out, By Aaron Coats

\$80.00 shipping & handling included.... Order from:

Omega - 17, LLC

Non-assumpsit/TDC

c/o P.O. Box 536

Vaughn | 98394 |

Washington

Call; 253-884-5785

essentialer@hotmail.com



TAKING DOWN THE WHISTLEBLOWERS BREAK HER HEART, BREAK HER WILL

By Janet C. Phelan
The American's Bulletin

Joseph Carley probably never had a chance. The only and much desired child of medical whistleblower extraordinaire, Dr. Rebecca Carley, he became a pawn in a game to destroy his mother's career and her efforts to expose the dangers of vaccinations and other government programs.

Rebecca Carley wanted a family. She was forty-two years old, on her second marriage and her "biological clock" was definitely ticking. She had come from a lower middle class family and had made it up the educational rungs to graduate from medical school on her own accomplishments, not family reputation or money. After graduating from the SUNY Downstate, she began to research fetal echocardiography, which resulted in the publication of a groundbreaking article in the Journal of Clinical Ultrasound, June 1981 (Vol. 9, p 223-229). At that point, her entrance into the exclusive ranks of medical students became a slam-dunk. She graduated with honors from SUNY Health Science Center at Brooklyn in 1987.

Early on in her career as a surgeon, she became aware that things weren't quite right with the "white coats." She began to separate herself from the flock, and started a public access show in New York in 1997, entitled "What's Ailing America?" She covered such topics as vaccine induced diseases, police corruption and corruption in the courts.

But she wanted a family, and ended up investing tens of thousands of dollars in fertility treatments. When Joey was born in 1996, she was overjoyed.

Her marriage, however, was in trouble. She soon separated from her husband, Michael Carley, who had become physically abusive to her. It should be noted that Rebecca Carley states that Michael started the physical

abuse when Joey was only six months old, stating "he did not want me to do these shows." It was on Father's Day, of 1999 when her son was raped and sodomized, apparently by his own father.

As usual, Rebecca picked up Joey from his father that day. Her son, then aged three, began to complain that his father had "punched me" in the butt, and had "stuck a magic marker up" his butt. Alarmed, Rebecca turned on the video camera.

The footage shows Joey Carley, obviously traumatized and terrified, telling his mother that he was assaulted by his father. Rebecca took her son to the emergency room, only to find the doctor on call indifferent and incompetent. The rape kit was thrown into the garbage and never evaluated. Concerned that the doctor was not adequately documenting the assault, Rebecca took one photograph of her son's rear, showing contusions around the area. It was this act of documenting the abuse that was used to sever Rebecca from her son.

Rebecca Carley had already encountered "misbehavior" by the justice system when she had attempted to get the police to protect her from her violent spouse. The first time she called the police, they showed up and asked her "what she did to upset" her abusive husband, shifting the onus of responsibility on to the victim. In June of 1998, according to Rebecca, the police finally arrested him when he beat her up in front of her young son; in that instance, she had visible bruising. The District Attorney, Dennis Dillon (more on him later) dropped all charges against Michael Carley. He also was later to drop all charges against Michael Carley for allegedly raping his own son, even though Michael failed the polygraph.

Child Protective Services paid a visit to Rebecca and her son the morning after the hospital visit, along with Sex Crimes Detective Meehan.

Later that day Rebecca took Joey to his private pediatrician, Dr. Monica Melamedoff, who also documented Joey's anal abrasions, as well as a red throat and a rectal irritation. Joey also told Dr. Melamedoff that "Daddy stuck a magic marker" in his buttock.

CPS Agent Wilkins informed Dr. Carley on Wednesday, June 23, 1999 that there would be a hearing in Family Court at two p.m. to address Michael Carley's actions. She showed up without an attorney, and was informed that the neglect hearing was about her, not Mr. Carley. Judge Lawrence determined that her actions of taking the photograph was "bizarre" and further deemed that since the visitation was "supervised" (and it was not supervised), no sexual abuse could have occurred. Mention was made of Dr. Carley's views against vaccinations.

Rebecca Carley was subsequently charged with child abuse and child neglect for the act of taking one photograph of her child's buttocks. Nassau County Judge Richard Lawrence ignored the fact that, as a mandated reporter for child abuse, Dr. Carley was operating within the law by taking one photograph to support her claims of abuse, and proceeded to find her guilty as charged.

As it turned out, this one photograph was the only one in focus of Joey's wounds. All the photographs taken by Dr. Sofola at Nassau County Medical Center were out of focus and thus not admissible as evidence and neither was, of course, the discarded rape kit.

Dr. Carley was able to achieve a protective order against Michael Carley, granted by the Judge. However, her own attorney, Mr. Cammarata, then went against Dr. Carley's wishes and in Lawrence's court on July 29, 1999, agreed to start visitation with the father while the order of protection was in effect. After Mr. Cammarata acted against Joey's best interests, he then informed the court that he

would not be able to represent Dr. Carley any further, on the bizarre grounds that he used the same pediatrician as his client.

Judge Lawrence was later to incarcerate Dr. Carley for criminal contempt for her telling the judge not to yell at her. Judge Lawrence also refused to allow Joey to be evaluated by a sex abuse validator and refused to refer the case to the DA for prosecution, even after the foster mother filed an affidavit with the court revealing that Joey had also told her that his father had raped him.

The foster mother, Ruth Porcaro, provided the court a clear and concise statement of what Joey Carley told her had happened to him at the hands of Michael Carley, as well her own observations: "When Joseph first came to my home he was traumatized," she wrote in a statement to Family Court of Nassau County on September 1, 1999. She reported Joey as saying to her, "My Daddy hurt my butt," and "My daddy punched my butt," and other, more graphically disturbing statements.

Rebecca Carley's divorce from Michael Carley was granted on the basis that he abused her. Nevertheless, on October 4, 2000, Judge Lawrence placed Joey Carley into the custody of Michael Carley, whom Joey Carley claims sexually assaulted him. Judge Richard Lawrence put the abused child into the hands of the abuser, and continued to sit on the bench and to decide the fate of other families at risk.

But Rebecca Carley is a fighter, and she wasn't going to give up that easy. She took the case to appeals, where she (and Joey) again lost.

Sharon Commissiong, Deputy Majority Counsel of Nassau County Legislature, wrote a letter to Elliot Spitzer, who was State Attorney General at that time, declaring that this case needed his investigation. In an act consonant with his moral turpitude, Spitzer turned around and moved to dismiss Rebecca Carley's federal civil rights lawsuit against Judge Lawrence.

During a supervised visit with Joey in October of 2003, he began to cry and beg her to help him. Immediately, her visits with Joey were pulled by Nassau Supervised Visitation. Shortly thereafter, she was accused of telling her son to have sexual contact with Michael Carley.

She took her story to the airwaves, and in late summer of 1999, she went onto her public access television show and disclosed what had happened to her and her son. District Attorney Denis Dillon (who previously cut Michael Carley loose from abuse charges) then forwarded a copy of her show to the New York Medical Board, who initiated proceedings against Dr. Carley to have her medical

license revoked. The charges? That she had a "delusion of conspiracy."

We have seen, in the cases of Eric Shine, Susan Lindauer and other whistleblowers exactly the same forces at work. If a person should fall victim to collusion by state agencies and is robbed of his/her rights and should have then have the courage to protest against this, that person is often charged with being "paranoid" or having a "conspiracy mindset."

Curiously, at the very time that Rebecca Carley was fighting for her son's safety, a law was introduced into the New York State Assembly addressing a similar issue. Law 542 made it mandatory for the Board for Professional Medical Conduct to notify law enforcement of alleged criminal offenses, should the Board receive complaints of a criminal nature. This law was introduced due to reports, received by the Board in 1999, that a prominent pediatric neurologist, Dr. Phillip Riback, was allegedly sexually abusing children. The Board did not disclose this to the police, and it was not until 2002, when a parent of one of the allegedly abused children brought the matter directly to the police, that the doctor was arrested and charged. Riback was sentenced to 48 years in prison, on twenty-eight counts of sexually abusing boys. The new law substantially expanded the understanding that medical practitioners, including the Board for Professional Medical Conduct, have an ethical and legal necessity to report crimes of sexual abuse. This fact also provides troubling questions as to how Dr. Carley could have been charged with abuse for her act of documenting the abuse of her own son.

Richard Lawrence, who lost his seat on the bench in a recent election, is now practicing law in Merrick, New York. In an interview on June 17, 2008, he declined to explain any of his actions to this reporter, stating he was disallowed by the "canon of ethics" to comment on his decision.

It has been five years since Dr. Rebecca Carley has seen her son. Her radio shows are now aired on BBS radio, which is internet, and on Republic Broadcast Network, which is both internet and also aired on thirty terrestrial stations. She has become a potent voice in the outcry against forced vaccinations, and through her diligent research, she has been able to reverse many autoimmune diseases caused by vaccines.

This past Mother's Day found Rebecca Carley in the company of friends. It was a day of sorrow for her, not rejoicing. Her voice, which is the voice of wisdom and protest, has become very strong. However, she knows that her own son has fallen prey to the very forces that she speaks so powerfully against. And while she attempts to inform and protect all of us from the dangers of the shadow government, she has paid the highest price for her courage.

Please see > DrCarley.com for more on Dr. Carley's matter and more!

● ● ●

- **Redemption Service** – become Secured Party
Creditor/private banker – take control of the Debtor, the collateral and the property!
- **Conditional Acceptance for Value Processing** – Private Administrative Process to resolve a problem or obtain ‘stipulation, agreement and confession of the injury, fraud, etc.
- **Tort Claim Service** – Formal process to file monetary claim for stipulation, agreement and confession of the injury, fraud, etc., filed into Risk Management Office.

- Call for information – leave mailing address on the Phone!
- Those incarcerated – mail request for ‘3 in One Letter!’

Call -1-541-779-7709
The American's Bulletin


'take back control'



THE HITLER POEMS

By Janet C. Phelan

These poems depict a journey through a dark landscape, where the political and personal are fused into a geography of disinformation, sex, betrayal and deadly technology.



Phelan has produced verbal "snapshots" of a subterranean war-- with fronts in Los Angeles as well as Fallujah-- where the only defense is one's integrity and the stakes may be life itself.

82 Pages

To order by Credit Card go to xlibris.com
or **CALL TOLL FREE: 888.795.4274**, Cost: \$ 8.50 + shipping

Notarial Protest Service
- Nation Wide -
-Call
1-541-410-9272

PRISON BONDS, CORPORATIONS & STOCK COMPANIES MO

By Jean Keating
Re-edited by Chris Bono
The American's Bulletin

This slightly edited 2004 info/article is presented herein for your edification and is not to be construed as legal advice, but merely educational information only. You have a right to know, of the commercial programs and schemes your government has instituted without your consent...to your economic detriment, but rather to their financial greedy gain and that of the corporations, stock companies and the realstate investment scheme(s) as well. This article in not error free! Proceed at your own risk!!

Why is privatizing prisons so appealing to federal, state, & local governments? As the Nation put it: "The selling point was simple: Private companies could build & run prisons cheaper than the governments. Unfettered American Capitalism would produce a better fether, saving cash-strapped states millions of dollars each year" while simultaneously generating huge profits. The Nation explains how this miracle would be accomplished. "Private prisons receive a guaranteed [per diem] fee for each prisoner, regardless of the actual costs. Each dime they don't spend on food or medical care [for prisoners] or on wages & training for the guards is a dime they can pocket." Most guards in public prisons belong to the LEOU, which is part of the American Federation of State, County, & Municipal Employees AFSCME. I have a pointed question for you. Why aren't we as principals on the Private side of the accounting cycle using our Exemption Priority to discharge all this Public Debt?

Laying the foundation
By legal definition, all of your Federal & State 'Statutes' are Bonds or Obligations of Record & are represented in the courtroom by the Recognizance Bond, which is a Bond of Record or Obligation for the payment of debt. The courts are operating under Statute Law. A 'Statute' is defined in black's 4th edition revised as a kind of bond or obligation of record, being an abbreviation for 'statute merchant' or 'statute staple.'
'Statute merchant' is defined as a security for a debt acknowledged to be due, entered into before the chief magistrate of some trading town, by which not only the body of the debtor might be imprisoned, & his goods seized in satisfaction of the debt, but also his lands might be delivered to the creditor till out of the rents & profits of them the debt be satisfied. This was also called a Pocket Judgment.
Statute Staple - a 1353 statute establishing procedure for settling disputes among merchants who traded in staple towns. The statute helped merchants receive swift judgment for debt. Cf. STATUTE MERCHANT. 2. A bond for commercial debt. A statute staple gave the lender a possessory right in the land of a debtor who failed to repay a loan.
"A popular form of security after 1285 . . . was the . . . 'statute staple' - whereby the borrower could by means of a registered contract charge his land & goods without giving up possession; if he failed to pay, the lender became a tenant of the land until satisfied . . .

the borrower under a statue or recognizance remained in possession of his land, & it later became a common practice under the common-law forms of mortgage likewise to allow the mortgagor to remain in possession as a tenant at will or at sufferance of the mortgage." J.H. Baker, An introduction to English Legal History 354 (3rd edition 1990).

Prison Bonds or what?
Recognizance - a bond or obligation of record binding a person to some act as to appear in court & subject to forfeit money if obligation is not fulfilled. Fifa = Fifa, short for the Latin phrase fieri facias ("let it be made . . .") was a court (execution) to the sheriff to levy on (Take) the property of a debtor in order to satisfy a judgment (see judgment & execution dockets, above). The sheriff might typically keep track of fifas in a Sheriff's Fifa Docket Book. Usually written on a fill-in-the blank form, a fifa names the parties to the court judgment & the value of property to be taken to satisfy the judgment. On the back, the sheriff or his deputies annotate their actions in carrying out the order. The fifas were to be returned to the court which issued them & the actions annotated on the Judgment Docket. Theoretically, the docket books should contain everything that was noted on the fifas.

I have been doing more research on our prison system via the internet & have found out some interesting things, regarding what is really going on in the courtroom. The court is looking for an acceptance & acceptor under UCC 3-410, as the Principal has the primary obligation to pay or discharge any instrument presented for acceptance. Since they are presenting a Bill of Exchange [indictment] for acceptance, this is called an acceptance for honor, which involves a negotiable instrument especially a bill of exchange [indictment] that has been accepted for payment. The complaint, information, or indictment is a 3 party Draft, Commercial paper, or Bill of Exchange under Article 3 of the UCC. The Grand Jury Foreman is the Drawer or Maker of the Indictment by his signature, the Defendant/ Debtor or Strawman is the Drawee & the State is the Payee & the live man is the Payor. What they are doing in the courtroom is all commercial; this is in conformity to 27 CFR.

If you don't accept the charge or presentment you are in dishonor for non-acceptance under UCC 3-505(c) & 3-501 (2)(a)(b). Acceptance is the drawer's signed engagement to honor the draft as presented. It must be written on the draft, & may consist of his signature alone. It becomes operative when completed by delivery or notification UCC 3-410. You are the fiduciary trustee of the strawman, which is a Cesti Que Trust; in this capacity you have the responsibility to discharge all his debts, by operation of law. You are also the principal or asset holder on the private side of the accounting ledger; you are holding the exemption necessary to discharge the debt. When they monetize debt they must have a principal, capital & interest is what circulates as principal & is called revenue

or re-venue. Principal is where venue lies.

Revenue is a Tax debt or Tax bills. All bills when presented represent revenue, interest, capital, or accruals circulating from you as the principal, when it is returned back to you as capital or interest it is called income or in-coming. This method of accounting is called the 'Accrual Accounting Method' & is represented by debits & credits. Debits are assets, credits are liabilities. The credits & liabilities have to be in balance; this is accomplished through double book-keeping entries. Corporations work on the Fiscal Accounting Cycle because they operate using commercial debt, we, as owner principals, work on the General Calendar Accounting Year or Cycle.

NY City has a \$6.6 billion dollar deficit, this deficit represents unredeemed debt on the credit side of the accrual accounting system & cannot be executed to the debit side of accrual accounting ledger, except through the principal's exemption. NY has therefore put its bond underwriting business up for bid and means that NY will issue \$ 6.6 billion in bonds & pay underwriters over \$30 million in fees in the next fiscal year alone. Lehman Brothers Bank will underwrite NY's \$6.6 billion deficit. An underwriter is an Insurer or one who buys stock from the issuer with intent to resell it to the public or an entity or person, especially an investment banker, who guarantees the sale of newly issued securities by purchasing all or part of the shares for resale to the public. Why aren't we as principals on the Private side of the accounting cycle using our Exemption Priority to discharge all this Public Debt?

The Big Kahuna Prison Corp
The Corrections Corporation of America [CCA] owns most of your prison systems & sells its stock & shares on the New York Stock Exchange; the major stock holder is the Paine Webber Group; have a Dunn Bradstreet rating & are headquartered in Nashville. CCA later merged into PRISON REALTY TRUST [PZN], a Real Estate Investment Trust [REIT] (pronounced 'reet') that is exempt from corporate taxes, if it meets certain conditions. This was a \$4 Billion transaction; companies acquired U.S. Corrections Corporation. One important condition is that it distributes 95% of its income to shareholders, a provision making REITs attractive to investors. Prison Realty Trust failed to meet those conditions with cash flow problems; it posted a \$62,000,000 loss for 1999 & was in default

on the terms of its credit facility. Investors are angry that PZN lost its REIT status & the related dividend; they are filing class actions suits against Prison Realty Trust for false claims on Securities & Exchange Commission documents. Specifically, they are concerned about the non-disclosure of payments by PZN to CCA, and Prison Realty paid a dividend on their preferred stock.

Because the stock has lost 75% of its value, 2 of the executives are leaving, but not without a \$1.3 million severance. There's also been millions in attorney fees, class action lawsuits from shareholders about the merger & management fees for restructuring. They instituted a 10 for 1 split, which does not change the underlying financials of the company, but prevented them from being removed from the New York Stock Exchange.

PZN currently has an agreement with Fortress Investment Group LLC, the Blackstone Group & Bank of America. Fortress Investment Group is a global alternative investment & asset management firm founded in 1998 with approx \$11 billion in equity capital. Fortress recently completed an acquisition from the German Federal Government's social security & pension agency BfA.

In 2004 Fortress merged with Stelmar Shipping Ltd. Stelmar is an international provider of petroleum products & crude oil transportation services & is located in Greece. Stelmar operates one of the world's largest Handymax & Panamax tanker fleets with an average age of approx 6 years. Stelmar's 40 vessel fleet consists of 24 Handymax, 13 Panamax & 3 Aframax tankers.

The Blackstone group is a private investment banking firm & describes itself as a leading global investment & advisory firm. The Blackstone Group was founded in 1985 by a group of 4, including Peter G. Peterson & Stephen A. Schwarzman.

The Blackstone Group has ties to American International Group Inc. [AIG] & Kissinger Assoc., Inc./Henry Kissinger. Blackstone has developed strategic alliances with some of the largest & most sophisticated international financial institutions. Blackstone's Alternative Asset Management unit handles \$1 billion in hedge funds for pension giant CalPERS. In December 2001, the Blackstone Group was appointed as Enron's principal financial advisor for financial restructuring & is also handling the restructuring of Global Crossing.


In October 2004, Kissinger Associates & APCO Worldwide announced that they had formed "a strategic alliance". APCO worldwide [in DC] was started by Margery Kraus in

1984; she is active on the board of Group Menatep, the largest Russian holding company; Teuza Fund, a Fairchild technology venture (Israel). Group MENATEP is an international diversified holding company & long-term Russian strategic & portfolio investor in international financial & capital markets.

Other groups associated with Kissinger Associates [NY] are Kissinger McLarty Associates, Military-industrial complex & oil industry. Henry Kissinger's real last name is Stern, started & trained the terrorist group the Stern Gang in Israel, now called the Mossad; he trains global terrorist groups for the FBI, CIA, & the military, which are the groups running OUR government at every facet of its existence.

Pacific Life, a long term investor, beneficially owns approx 4.5 million shares of Prison Realty Trust [PZN]. The Prison Realty Trust, a REIT, is the world's largest private sector owner & developer. A REIT is a company that buys, develops, manages & sells real estate assets; allows participants to invest in a professional-managed portfolio of real estate properties; & qualify as 'pass through entities' [companies able to distribute the majority of income cash flows to investors without taxation at the corporate level, providing that certain conditions are met]. As pass through entities, whose main function is to pass profits on to investors, a REIT's business activities are generally restricted to generation of property rental income. Another major advantage of REIT investment is its liquidity [ease of liquidation of assets into cash] as compared to traditional private real estate ownership.

The origins of the 'real estate investment trust' or REIT date back to the 1880s. At that time, investors could avoid double taxation, as trusts were not taxed at the corporate level if income was distributed to beneficiaries. This tax advantage was reversed in the 1930s, & all passive investments were taxed first at the



Just Released

THE MEMORANDUMS BOOK

The MEMORANDUMS BOOK

A compilation of Memorandums on Constitutional Jurisdiction, the U.S. Bankruptcy, Public Fraud - Negotiable Paper, the Money Issue, on Common Law Lien, on Bills of Exchange, on the U.S. Inc. being Dissolved, on False Arrests and sovereignty! As Joe Friday on Dragnet use to say, "Just the facts, Mame, just the facts."

Excellent Reference Source

A209 \$ 25.00

Between the covers of this book you will find 93 pages chock-full of information that you can use to document and support your position on various subjects.

It is a compilation of Memorandums [with relevant case citations] on Constitutional Jurisdiction, the U.S. Bankruptcy, Public Fraud - Negotiable Paper, the Money Issue, on Common Law Lien, on Bills of Exchange, on the U.S. Inc. being Dissolved, on False Arrests and sovereignty! As Joe Friday on Dragnet use to say, "Just the facts, Mame, just the facts."

Must Have Book

UNITED PROGRAM - 'How'd They Do That' - TREATISE - Part 1

corporate level & later taxed as a part of individual incomes. Unlike stock & bond investment companies, REITs were unable to secure legislation to overturn the 1930 decision until 30 years later. Following WWII demand for real estate funds skyrocketed & President Eisenhower signed the 1960 real estate investment trust tax provision which reestablished the special tax considerations qualifying REIT's as pass through entities [eliminating double taxation]. This law has remained relatively intact with minor improvements since its inception. REIT investment increased throughout the 1980s with the elimination of certain real estate tax shelters. Investments in real estate provided investors with income & appreciation. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 allowed REIT's to manage their properties directly & in 1993 REIT investment barriers to pension funds were eliminated. This trend of reforms continued to increase the interest in & value of REIT investment.

Today, there are over 300 publicly traded REIT's operating in the US their assets total over \$300 billion, & approx 2/3 of these trade on the national stock exchanges. REIT's fall into 3 broad categories:

- Equity REITs: (96.1%);
- Mortgage REITs: (1.6%);
- Hybrid REITs: (2.3%).

Current REIT industry's investment choices, broken down by property are:

- Industrial/Office 33.1%;
- Retail 20%;
- Residential 21.0%;
- Specialty 2.3%;
- Health Care 3.8%;
- Self Storage 3.6%;
- Diversified 8.5%;
- Mortgage Backed 1.5%;
- Lodging/Resort 6.1%.



Federal Prison Industries, also known by its trade name UNICOR, founded in 1934, is operated by the Department of Justice [DOJ] & is a wholly owned government corporation which employs 25% of the Federal Bureau of Prisons' sentenced inmate population. UNICOR is a supplier to the military during the current war in Iraq. OK, that was a bit dry, that's life - get over it! Now... read on!

What your government has created

The government has also created the Prison Industrial Complex composed of approx 27 Agencies and Non-Governmental Entities - with 50 companies listed.

American Legislative

Exchange Council is owned by Paul Weyrich of the Free Congress Foundation & receives financial support from all of your major corporations. They are the moving force & promoter of the National Council of State Legislatures who privatize criminal statutes for financial gain & profit. They are promoting public policy in regard to 'prize & capture' law under the War Powers Acts. The Reason Foundation is run by David Nott, the president & is a think tank promoting privatization of penal institutions for financial gain. The Wackenhut Corporation is a U.S. based division of Group 4 Falck A/S, the world's 2nd largest provider of Security Services & is based in Denmark & is the premier U.S. provider of contract services to the business, commercial, & government markets.

The types & techniques of Privatization are: Contracting Out [Outsourcing]; Management Contracts; Public-Private Competition [managed competition, market testing]; Franchise; Internal Markets; Vouchers; Commercialization [service shedding]; Self Help [transfer to non-profit organization]; Volunteers; Corporatization; Asset Sale or Long-Term Lease; Private Infrastructure Development & Operation.

Cornell Corrections Inc. [NYSE:CRN] began in 1990 and Dillon Read Venture Capital became their first investor on 1991. They are also called Trinity Venture Capital. They built correctional facilities, and have grown 33-fold in revenues and offenders under contract. They have diversified and are now dependent upon development and have diversified into the 3 sectors of the business - Secure Institutional, they go up to maximum security; juvenile; & pre-release. They are the only company really in the business of aggressively growing in each of these 3 sectors. Their institutional revenues are around 42%, juvenile revenues approximate 40% & pre-release revenues are around 18%.

Privatization is the transfer of assets or service delivery from the government sector. Prisons are nothing but warehouses for the storage of goods & chattel under commercial law. The Warden is a Bailee or Warehouseman [before the term admiral was used was called Custos Maris "Warden of the Sea"] who receives personal property from another as Bailment. The Bailor is one who provides bail as a surety for a criminal defendant's release. Bailment is the delivery of personal property by one person [the Bailor] to another [the Bailee] who holds the property for a certain purpose under an expressed or implied-in-fact contract. Goods are tangible or movable property other than money; especially articles of trade or items of merchandise. The sale of goods is governed by Article 2 of the UCC "Goods means all things, including specially manufactured.

Everything is being run

under the Law Merchant under UCC 1-103. Section 1775.04 of Title 17 Corporations: Partnerships of the Ohio Revised Code says "Rules of Law & Equity, including the Law Merchant, to govern." UCC 1-103 is quoted in the Administrative Manual of the Internal Revenue Service, put out by CCH [Commerce Clearing House] & says that the law of the Merchant governs all sections in the Internal Revenue Code. Based on the above information it looks like GSA & GAO are heavily involved in the accounting aspect of the Prison System, which explains why they are supplying all the Bond forms respecting the Bid, Performance, & Payment.

When your dishonor is sold within the United States it has a 6 digit accounting number & is called a Cardinal number, when it is sold at the International Level it goes Ordinance or Military & uses a 9 digit accounting number. This is where AutoTRIS & CUSIP [Committee on Uniform Identification Process] come in. AutoTRIS is the 'Automated Forensic Traces Investigation System' & was designed in the REIT, Mortgage Backed Securities, is ownership position in a group, or pool, of mortgage loans. It is Bonds in which interest & principal received from this pool of mortgage loans are passed through to the Bondholders]. TBA [the Bond Market Association] & CUSIPs incorporate within the number itself, a security's mortgage type [Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae, Sally Mae, & Freddie Mac], coupon, maturity, & settlement month. For financial instruments actively traded on an International basis, which are either underwritten debt issues or domiciled equities outside the United States & Canada, the financial instruments will be identified by a CINS [CUSIP International Numbering System] number. The CINS number was developed in 1988 by Standard Poor's & Telekurs [USA] in response to the North American Securities industries' need for 9 character identifier for International Financial Instruments. CINS numbers appear in the International Securities Identification Directory [ISID Plus Services].

IT'S A MASSIVE SYSTEM

To show how massive this system is ISID plus contains over 500,000 global financial instruments & cross references all major national numbering systems... ISID Plus has been designed to minimize the impact on back-office systems & operations, while facilitating cross-border communications among global custodians, depositories, banks, securities organizations, & exchanges. CINS numbers employ the same issuer [6 characters] Issue [2 characters check digit] concept espoused by the CUSIP Numbering System. The

first position of a CINS code is always represented by an alpha character, signifying the Issuer's country code [domicile] or Geographic region. The National Association of Insurance Commissioners [NAIC] in October 1988 mandated the use by issuers of a uniform private placement number [PPN] to identify investments in their annual statements filed with the State Regulatory Authorities.



Standard Poor's CUSIP Service was selected by the NAIC to create, assign, & administer. I have the Articles of Incorporation of THE ASSOCIATION of NATIONAL NUMBERING AGENCIES or [ANNA SC]. The registered office is located & established at 6, avenue de Schiphol-1140 Brussels - Belgium. The object of ANNA is to maintain & promote the standards of International Standard ISO 6166, as amended from time to time [hereafter "the Standard"]. I bet that this standard # 6166 is the number of a man & His number is 666 & is talked about in Revelations 13; 18 & whose purpose under Article 3 is to carry out any commercial, financial, or civil transactions directly or indirectly related to the objects of ANNA. Under Article 5 ANNA has unlimited Capital through BIS [Bank for International Settlements], CCA, ALEC, WACKENHUT, CORNELL CORRECTIONS, REASON FOUNDATION, DILLION READ VENTURE CAPITAL, SG WARBURG, UBS WARBURG, WARBURG DILLON READ & the PAINE WEBBER GROUP. Under Article 29 ANNA has a list of all public finds, shares, stocks, bonds, & other securities.

The BIS is at the apex of all of the world's central banks, since they control & dictate monetary policy worldwide. In the late 1990's they set up a new structure called the Financial Stability Forum. This brought together the G7 Central Bank ministers, G7 Finance Ministers, their respective Securities & Exchange Commissions, and the Comptroller of the Currency & FDIC, along with the IMF & World Bank. This represents a further integration of the economies, policies & movement of monies & investments. Furthermore, in addition to the Central Banks, there is the Group of 8 which is comprised of the heads of state from the United States, Canada, Germany, Japan, Italy, France, Great Britain, & Russia. They have been meeting since 1975 when there were only 5 countries. Russia is the most recent country to join. They participate fully in every area with the exception of finance where they only participate in financial terrorism.

Also contributing to the new financial architecture is the rise of multi-national & transnational corporations, mergers & acquisitions, country privatization of its assets such as railroads, agriculture, banks, airlines, telephone companies, etc. Furthermore, the rise of public-private partnerships, which is a merger between government & business, also known as fascism, has contributed to a changed financial landscape. In addition, there is the move towards a global stock exchange, the establishment of a World's Customs Organization & "open skies" between countries.

So what's going on?

A condensed version of what is going on is that the CCA as a corporation creates or issues stock certificates based on prison population, goods or 'chattel' as they are called in commercial law. The underwriter is the one who buys the stock from the Issuer CCA with intent to resell it to the public or an entity or person, which is usually an investment banker. The investment banker purchases all or part of the shares of the stock for resale to the public in the form of newly issued investment securities based on the shares of the stock. Brokerage Houses & Insurance Companies Bid on the Investment Securities with a Bid Bond issued by the GSA. The Bid Bond is then indemnified by a surety company through Performance & Payment Bonds. The Bid, Performance, & Payment Bonds are then underwritten by the Banks as Investment Securities for resale to the public. The Institutional Holders who own most of the Shares are:

1. FMR [Fidelity Management Research Corp.] 3, 084,024 shares at a value of \$109,791,254
2. Legg Mason Inc. 1,235,563 shares valued at \$43,986,042
3. Barclays Bank Pic 1, 041,671 shares valued at \$37,083,487

There are 17 more corporations owning various amounts of shares at varying dollar values. These can be viewed by going to <http://finance.yahoo.com/q/mh?s=CXW>.

The Top Insider Rule 144 Holders are:

1. Russell, Joseph V. 64,450 shares as of 2-May-03
2. Ferguson, John D. 40,340 shares as of 2-May-03
3. Quinlan, J. Michael 28,575 shares as of 10-Sep-02
4. Turner, Jimmy 13,817 shares as of 23-May-03
5. Horne, John R. 5,751 shares as of 29-Jun-04

As you can see by the above information, this system permeates every fabric of our society. This treatise represents about 40 hours of brainstorming. Currently global terrorism is being funded by the prison system & the State's Retirement Fund - go to www.DivestTerror.Org this is a 115 page treatise on the Terrorism Investments of the 50 States.

... and you thought slave trading had been abolished!

Is your \$100,000,000.00 sitting like a lump in your UCC Contract Trust Account? See Part II in The next issue!!!



WHAT'S AILING AMERICA

Dr. Rebecca Carley

Get Answers!

www.drcarley.com

Rebecca Carley, MD, Court Qualified Expert in VIDS (Vaccine Induced Diseases)

HOMELESS NO MORE

By Janet C. Phelan
The American's Bulletin

It is a rainy day in Santa Monica. About dozen homeless people have gathered under the overhang at The Ocean Park Community Access Center on Olympic Boulevard, which is the hub for homeless services in Santa Monica. While the rain pours down, the homeless huddle, share cigarettes and joke wryly about available "shelter."

Santa Monica developed a reputation as a “homeless friendly” community back in the eighties, when the City of Santa Monica opened its doors to the poorest of the poor, setting up an extensive network of homeless services and even sanctioning a “tent city” on the lawn of City Hall. Drawn by this reputation as well as by the warm weather, the homeless began to stream in to Santa Monica. Along the way, the politics of this beautiful, wealthy city by the sea changed, but the reputation remained.


The current leadership of the city of Santa Monica is, in fact, engaged in legal warfare against this population. Under the tutelage of the misnamed "Homeless Liaison Office," the city has drafted and passed legislation criminalizing behavior that simply bespeaks poverty. A major proponent of these new laws is Councilman Bobby Shriver, brother to Maria Shriver, who is the wife of Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger. It was Shriver whom I first heard drop the phrase, "quality of life crimes."

For example, it is now illegal (and punishable by six months jail time) to engage in any of the following behaviors: Washing your hair in a public restroom; Sleeping on the beach or in parks during the day; Sleeping in a car; Shaving in a public restroom; "Aggressive" panhandling. The definition for "aggressive" involves all verbalizations requesting assistance. Signs are still legal.

In addition, the City has passed laws that placed a "chilling" effect on those wishing to assist the homeless, by feeding them. The 9th circuit court of appeals upheld laws in Santa Monica which prohibited public feedings, unless a permit were acquired. The terms of the permit, which were required for events accommodating more than 150 people, placed a minimum \$500 clean-up fee, payable to the City, as well as a more modest permit fee simply to pass out food to hungry people.

Paul Grymkowski, a former owner of the chain Gold's gym and a deacon in a local church,

had been passing out food two days a week in Palisades Park for a number of years. He was providing high quality, vegetable-intensive meals, which were enormously popular among the homeless. Upon passage of the anti-feeding law, he changed his format and began to display signs



reading "The Grymkowski Family and Friends Picnic." He reasoned that he could not be arrested and jailed for holding picnics.

The police began to show up at Grymkowski's events, and shortly thereafter, he discontinued serving meals in the park. The Status Report from The City of Santa Monica reveals that the number of public feedings dropped from 26 down to only five, since the adoption of the permit laws in 2002. The status report also includes the rationale for the permit laws: "The feedings often attract large crowds. As most of the persons receiving food are, or appear to be homeless, they usually have significant amounts of personal property with them...wear and tear on park property is substantial. Perhaps most importantly, the scheduled public feedings tend to facilitate homeless people staying in the streets."

Well, I guess if they don't eat, they won't be living on the streets (or anywhere else) very long...

Several years ago, Grymkowski expressed concern that he would soon be jailed for his large heart. At this point, he has moved his picnics out of the park to the "legal" interior of the OPCC Access Center.

Homelessness is increasing in Santa Monica. The ravages of the economy and the termination of the Section 8 housing program in Los Angeles have all contributed to the swelling of the numbers of the poorest of the poor. The service visits at OPCC support this perception. The number of people served at OPCC's Access Center for FY 2004-2005 was 2,095. By FY 2006-7, the number had jumped to over 2,700.

Interestingly enough, the official Homeless Census, sponsored by Los Angeles Homeless Services Association tells a different story. The census reports that the numbers of homeless in Santa Monica dropped 25% between 2005 and 2007, from 1991 to 1506. This was dutifully reported in the Santa Monica Daily Press, which quoted city officials as stating that the results of the homeless count demonstrate that the efforts of the city to help the homeless are working.

John Maceri, the Executive Director of OPCC, did not return calls from TAB inquiring as to the discrepancy between his agency's was reported

figures and what was reported in the press.

The City of Santa Monica has launched an aggressive program to address the "homeless problem." The shelter programs in Los Angeles County, of which Santa Monica is a part, currently offer a maximum of about 12,000 beds, for a population of over 73,000. This figure includes the "winter shelter" beds, when the National Guard Armories open their doors between the months of November and March and transitional housing beds. For Santa Monica, "homeless capital of the United States," the emergency and transitional shelter beds total 261. This figure increases by 464 if one adds in the transitional housing beds, which can be occupied for up to two years. Julie Rusk, director of Santa Monica City Hall's homeless effort, seized upon the so-called "drop" in homelessness in Santa Monica and proclaimed, "We are going in the right direction."

Rusk did not return calls from TAB requesting an explanation for the apparent drop in the homeless census at the same time that the service numbers for OPCC were on the rise. Stacy Rowe, Human Services Administrator, did agree to speak with this reporter. She suggested that the reasons that the figures for OPCC were on the rise was due to the "excellent" job of outreach that agency was doing.

However, the word on the streets is that the numbers of homeless in Santa Monica is exploding. "We've got people flooding in here like nobody's business," said "Dave," who was parking his bike in front of OPCC.

Under the banner of addressing the "homeless problem," Santa Monica has recently set up a "Homeless Court." The questionable Constitutionality of bifurcating the legal system and setting up a court for only those in particular economic stratum does not seem to bother City Hall. Homeless court convenes once a month, to hear cases involving "quality of life" and other petty cases against homeless individuals. The city's website hails this as "an innovative pilot project." Spearheaded by Santa Monica Homeless "Czar" Ed Edelman, the court will offer drug and psychiatric assistance, rather than jail time, to those arrested for "petty offenses."

In "Ending Homelessness in Santa Monica," authored by the Urban Institute, a think tank in Washington, D.C., a "big stick" approach is advocated, using the threat of increased incarceration as the

prod. "As things stand now," states the report, "the only 'or else' that the court will have, as it urges homeless people to 'cooperate, or else,' is a relatively short stay in jail. We believe it will need a stronger 'or else' to make a real dent; changing the jail could supply that strength."

This brings us to the spectre of Army Regulation 210-35. Drafted into law in 1997 and revised in 2005, 210-35 calls for the setting up of "civilian inmate labor camps" on military bases. There has been much buzz, mostly on the internet, concerning closed military bases being reconfigured as "concentration camps." The fact is that 210-35 reveals who the denizens of these forced labor camps will be. Section 3-5 (a) (6) states that the camps should be set up in compliance with the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act. This was enacted under the administration of Ronald Reagan, in 1987.

The Army Regulation goes on to mitigate any sort of press coverage of activities or inmates, and chillingly states that if inmate deaths occur, the host agency will not be held responsible.

In an interview last fall

with Danielle Noble of the Homeless Liaison office in Santa Monica, Noble explicitly denied any knowledge of 210-35. "We have enough trouble getting the homeless into regular housing," she said. "Why would we put them in concentration camps?"

I guess I have some questions, too. Why would someone be arrested for shaving in a public restroom? Why would the City of Santa Monica put forward \$476, 237 (estimated costs for one year pilot Homeless Court), which admittedly served only eighty-two people in a one year span of time, when it would cost less to simply house these individuals?

And why is the legal system being altered to criminalize poverty? Why does the Urban Institute advocate "changing the jail" to "make a dent" on homelessness? And why in the world does the Army now have in place plans to place homeless people in forced labor camps?

The poor are always with us, or so stated the Bible. Maybe the U.S. Government has made different plans.

Major Health Discovery Made And It Is Not Good

By Kafka
Via Rense.com

Last year, I purchased five Chinese made 1,000 watt electrical generators. They were all defective because of faulty electrical magnetos that would not produce voltage to fire the spark plug. The generators would not start or run.

Off the shelf replacement ignition systems were not available, so a decision was made to design and build electronic ignition systems to make the generators functional. My friend, Carl, designed and built a state of the art prototype ignition system using a Hall Effect Sensor to detect the rotation of the motor shaft to fire the spark plug. The new prototype worked perfectly on the test bench inside the house but the Hall Effect (Magnetic) Sensor failed after seconds of operation installed on the generator outside the house and would not respond to a magnet manually passed in front of the Hall Sensor. The magnetic sensor capability failed completely. But, after close inspection, the Hall Sensor was observed to be in a permanent conductive state while outside the house installed on the generator. The ignition system was removed from the generator and placed on the test bench inside the house. It stopped conducting inside the house. Fifteen Hall Effect Sensors failed in the same manner. Two separate tests were performed by moving the solid state ignition system with Hall Effect Sensor outside the house where it failed and became conductive and when moved inside the house, it became non-conductive. That is to say, outside the house, it was permanently on and inside the house, it was permanently off. Inside the Hall Effect Solid State Sensor there is a component called a Hall Plate. If the Hall Plate is driven into saturation by microwave radiation from the sky, it would go conductive, and if you shielded the Hall Effect Sensor from

that foreign radiation, it would go non-conductive. Moving the Hall Effect Sensor inside the house would shield the radiation somewhat and again the sensor would go non-conductive! When we realized the implications of our findings, we felt terror!

This is our conclusion.

We know the chemtrails are a primary RFMP (Radio Frequency Mission Planner) heavy metal barium mixture plus DARPA (Defense Advanced Research Programs Agency) chemistry (biological) used for various military applications, including weather control. We know the atmosphere has been converted into an electrical plasma environment with ongoing ionic manipulation. We believe the atmosphere is an ever decaying caldron of death for citizens. Sooner or later it will effect the health of all.

With the addition of significant microwave radiation in a plasma atmosphere environment, you have a recipe for death of all life on earth.

1. The human electrical system is greatly compromised and disrupted. 2. Inability to sleep. 3. The heart beat rhythm can be interrupted and adversely affected, pulsing fast at times. 4. Blood pressure increases. 5. Accelerated aging of the skin. 6. Cancers. 7. Visual eye problems and a multitude of illnesses can be attributed to the plasma atmosphere with microwave radiation coming down on the citizens. 8. Mental processes adversely affected and reduced. 9. Every aspect of life on earth is at risk for sickness and death.

Remember, it does not matter who kills you, whether it is lawful or not. It does not matter if it is the good guy or the bad guy that kills you. The reason for your death does not matter. It only matters that you are dead.

We continue to investigate.

```
--Kafka
```

AWAKEN

Your Personal Power To Change
By Joyus-Apollo Diamond

Be honest with yourself - open up your eyes real wide - now look at your world. Ask yourself one simple question – **do I like what I see?** If you do, *great* – if you don't, *change it*.

This is what I do to help others – and the reason I wrote my book. To help you:

Change your Thinking, To Change your life!

When you apply the simple, practical, universal techniques I present in my book **you will see** Dramatic, Positive, Permanent changes in your life. **It does work and is worth it! You are worth it!**

Mail \$25 Cash or Money Order to:
Joyus-Apollo Diamond
c/o 3976 Griffin Creek Road, Medford, Oregon 97501

Bits and Pieces of Information You May Find of Interest

1. "There is no question of the general doctrine that fraud vitiates the most solemn contracts, documents and even judgments. \"..\"Fraud vitiates everything, and a judgment equally with a contract.\" See: UNITED STATES v. THROCKMORTON, (Dec. 9, 1878) 98 U.S. 61. THOWBRIDGE v. OEHNSEN, 207 App. Div. 740; 202 N.Y.S. 833; 150 N.E. 556.

2. \"Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading.\" See: U.S. v. TWEEL, 550 F.2d. 287 (1997).

3. A Respondent's failure to dispute Petitioner's factual 'allegations will result in those allegations being taken as true. \"In order to define disputed questions of fact, the STATE must meet the Petitioner's evidence with its own competent evidence.\" See: In Re Rice, 118 Wn.2d 876, 886, 828 P.2d 1086,1 cert. denied, 506 U.S. 958, 113 S.Ct. 421, 12 L. Ed.2d 344 (1992).

4. \"The loss of constitutional rights, even for short periods of time, constitutes irreparable injury\"; Deerfield Medical Center v. City of Deerfield Beach, 661 F.2d 328,-338 (5th Cir. 1981). The deprivation of Petitioner's Life, Liberty, and Property without due process clearly violates the constitution. Everyday the Respondent s) retain his Life, Liberty, and Property, Petitioner is being unjustly injured.

5. Any act (or further acts) of detention, arrest, incarceration, or physical harm to this Petitioner hereafter is assigned the minimum monetary values as per precedent established by Trezevant v. City of Tampa, 741 D.2d 336 (1984); \$25,000.00 per 23-minute period, i.e. \$65,217.91 per hour, and

‘Quit Claim’

Continued From Page 6

Constitution created the government and under the capacity therein granted to Senators the Delegates seated George Washington as President of that government.

Second: The Trust was sent out for ratification of the individual States (because they had not yet agreed to give up their sovereignty).

Third: The individual States conditionally declined requiring a Bill of Rights limitation on the Constitution to make it acceptable.

Fourth: The First Constitutional Convention sat and generated the Bill of Rights (a set of supreme laws that limit government). The wording of the Bill recognized it as \"Articles in addition to, and Amendment of the Constitution of the United States of America\"; presupposing that the Constitution already existed as set. Note: they did not regenerate the Constitution; it was already signed and accepted by each of the State's representatives and the Constitutional Republic was already in force; they simply added the Bill of Rights so the Republic would forever remain of, by, and for the people.

Fifth: The individual States were given the original Constitution with the attached \"Bill of Rights\" under the name \"Constitution of the United States of America\" and all of the States accepted and ratified the documents.

Sixth: With the ratification of the Trust and its \"Bill of Rights\", the government was accepted as formed, in trust, yet still, other than George Washington, there were no officers in the seats of the government. It's very important for us to notice this status of the government.

Seventh: The Constitutional Convention again sat to perform their final acts as the Creator of the Trust. They appointed officials to sit in the primary seats of the newly formed Constitutional Republic and to so serve until an election

\$1,565,217.30 per day, plus punitive damages in amount decided solely by Petitioner/ Petitioner's heirs or assigns.

6. \"A judge who exceeds his office or jurisdiction is not to be obeyed.\" See: \"He who exercises judicial authority beyond his proper limits cannot be obeyed with safety or impunity.\" See: Maxims of Law, edited by C. Weisman, 632, 66m. [EMPHASIS ADDED]

7. \"The line which separates error in judgment from the usurpation of power is very definite.\" See; Voorhes v. The Bank of the United States, 35 US 449, 474-75 (1836).

8. \"If [excessive exercise of authority] has reference to want of power over the subject matter, the result is void when challenged directly or collaterally. If it has reference merely to the judicial method of the exercise of power, the result is binding upon the parties to the litigation till reversed.\"**The former is usurpation; the latter error in judgment.\" See: Harrigan v. Gilchrist, 99 N.W. 909, 934, 121 Wis. 127 (1904).

9. \"Under BRADY an inadvertent nondisclosure has the same impact on the fairness of the proceedings as deliberate concealment.\" See: Strickler v. Greene, US _____, Led2d _____, 119 S.Ct. 1936 (1999).

10. \"An agency is generally required to follow its own regulations.\" See: Doe v. Tenet, 329 F3d 1135 (9th Cir. 2003).

11. \"An agency may not confer power upon itself.\" See: Gorbach v. Reno, 219 F3d 1087 (8th Cir. 2000).

12. \"Executive agencies must comply with the procedural requirements imposed by statute.\" See: Gonzalez v. Reno, 212 F3d 1338 (11th Cir. 2000).

13. \"One must be ever

could be held.

Eighth: Those officials now appointed could not take office until they each individually first swore an Oath of Office stating they would uphold the, Constitution of the United States of America. Again it is very important to notice the name used in the Bill of Rights and now used for this \"contract\" with the officers and agencies serving under Oath to obey and uphold the: Constitution of the United States of America, not, \"for\", but \"of\".

Note: It's important to note here that we are indeed talking about two different documents. The First, the, Constitution for the United States of America, is a Trust and the Second, the, Constitution of the United States of America, is a contract between the officers of government and the beneficiaries of the Trust.

After the Constitution was in place, and elections were held ratifying George Washington as President things went fairly well until the Civil War.

In 1863, Lincoln instituted martial law and ordered that the States either conscribe troops and provide money in support of the North or be recognized as and enemy of the nation; this martial law Act of Congress is still in effect today—what it means is that the President has dictatorial authority to do anything that can be done by the government in accord with the Constitution of the United States of America. This martial law authority is still in effect to this day and this Act was the foundation of today's Presidential Executive Orders.

By 1868 the war was over and the government had a gigantic problem. Until that time Congressmen were equally, collectively and severally liable for any official acts they performed outside of their constitutional limitations. It was much like a General Partnership. In the wake of the war martial law was necessarily enforced in the South and carpetbaggers were sent down to \"help adjust property ownership problems\" after the war. Many great atrocities

were committed making the vulnerability to lawsuit unbearable. It was considered that, in the interest of better handling the business interests and needs of government, the government should form a corporation, because from the protection of such a corporation they could continue to do what they felt was necessary to reunite the Union. To accomplish this, under the Constitution's allowance for Congress to pass (and enforce) any law within the 10 mile square of Washington, D.C., they passed The District of Columbia Organic Act of 1871 (Chapter 62, 16 Statutes at Large, 419).

Corp. USA Under The District of Columbia Organic Act of 1871 a private corporation named, \"The District of Columbia\", was formed. It trademarked the names \"THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT\", \"United States\", \"U.S.\", \"U.S.A.\", \"USA\", and \"America\". It should be noted that this corporation was not simply a reformation of the municipality as its Organic Act was chartered in 1808. Without amending that municipality's charter, this 1871 Act marked the creation of a new private corporation known as, \"The District of Columbia\" (hereinafter \"Corp. U.S.\") owned and operated by the actual government for the purpose of carrying out the business needs of the government under martial law. This was done under the constitutional authority for Congress to pass any law within the ten mile square of Washington, District of Columbia. In said, Act Corp. U.S. adopted their own constitution the (United States Constitution), which was identical to the national Constitution (Constitution of the United States of America) except that it was missing the national Constitution's 13th Article of Amendment (attached below) and the national Constitution's 14th, 15th and 16th Articles of Amendment are respectively numbered 13th, 14th and 15th Amendments in their constitution. [http://www.w3f.com/patriots/13/13th-01.html]



were committed making the vulnerability to lawsuit unbearable. It was considered that, in the interest of better handling the business interests and needs of government, the government should form a corporation, because from the protection of such a corporation they could continue to do what they felt was necessary to reunite the Union. To accomplish this, under the Constitution's allowance for Congress to pass (and enforce) any law within the 10 mile square of Washington, D.C., they passed The District of Columbia Organic Act of 1871 (Chapter 62, 16 Statutes at Large, 419).

Corp. USA Under The District of Columbia Organic Act of 1871 a private corporation named, \"The District of Columbia\", was formed. It trademarked the names \"THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT\", \"United States\", \"U.S.\", \"U.S.A.\", \"USA\", and \"America\". It should be noted that this corporation was not simply a reformation of the municipality as its Organic Act was chartered in 1808. Without amending that municipality's charter, this 1871 Act marked the creation of a new private corporation known as, \"The District of Columbia\" (hereinafter \"Corp. U.S.\") owned and operated by the actual government for the purpose of carrying out the business needs of the government under martial law. This was done under the constitutional authority for Congress to pass any law within the ten mile square of Washington, District of Columbia. In said, Act Corp. U.S. adopted their own constitution the (United States Constitution), which was identical to the national Constitution (Constitution of the United States of America) except that it was missing the national Constitution's 13th Article of Amendment (attached below) and the national Constitution's 14th, 15th and 16th Articles of Amendment are respectively numbered 13th, 14th and 15th Amendments in their constitution. [http://www.w3f.com/patriots/13/13th-01.html]

Corp. U.S. was not well received by the people so Congress revised the Act in 1874 and finalized it in 1878. Corp. U.S. began issuing bonds to cover the expenses of running government. By 1912 there was more bond debt due than there was money in the Treasury to pay and the debt was called.

Seven very powerful families had been buying up the bonds and in 1912 they demanded their timely redemption. When Corp. U.S. couldn't come up with the money due, its owner (the actual government) was obligated to pay. The Treasury of the United States of America [v. the Treasury of the United States (corp.)] did not have sufficient funds to cover the bonds either but the seven families accepted all of the assets of the nation's Treasury along with all of the assets of Corp. U.S.' Treasury as a settlement of the debt saving the nation from bankruptcy. By 1913 there was still no money for operating the government/corporation, and if Corp. U.S. didn't do something the people would revolt against them, so Corp. U.S. went to those seven very powerful families and asked if they could borrow money from them.

The Federal Reserve Bank

The heads of those families refused to loan Corp. U.S. any money because Corp. U.S. had already proven that it would not pay its debts back in full. They did however make arrangements and provisions to issue notes (Federal Reserve Notes) like letters of credit while they secured the notes for redemption with real money. On Jekyll Island in 1913 the Federal Reserve Bank privately agreed to so fund Corp. U.S. in their endeavors. Such an action would have been a gigantic violation of law if the government tried such a thing, but there is no law against private corporations making such arrangements. The real problem is in the name. How does one tell the difference between a corporation going by the name, \"THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT\", and the government of the United States of America? What's worse, how do you tell the difference between the \"United States\" [a Trust and the body of government that represents the Trust, as Trustees], and the \"United States\" a trademark name for,\"The District of Columbia\" [a private corporation]? The answer is simple; you can't unless you can tell by the context of what's being done.

The problem gets even larger when you take into consideration the fact that the officers of government are also the officers of the corporation. They were simultaneously appointed or elected into their offices, both in the corporation and in the government at the same time. In virtually every way the name of their offices and their responsibilities as corporate officials and as government officers were coincidental between 1871 and 1913. There was no conflict in interest because the Corp. U.S.' purpose was to fulfill the

business needs of the actual government.

I'm not going here into all of the details and ramifications of the arrangements between Corp. U.S. and the Federal Reserve Bank. The simple fact is: Where the government couldn't lawfully be involved with the Federal Reserve Bank, the corporation can be.

Vacating the seats of Government

Under all of the media coverage of the Federal Reserve Bank Act, Corp. U.S. passes and adopts (as if ratified) their own 16th Amendment. Remember, this amendment has nothing to do with our nation, with our people or with our national Constitution, which already had its own 16th Article of Amendment as of 1870. The Supreme Court ruled that Corp. U.S.' 16th Amendment did nothing that was not already done other than to make plain and clear the right of the United States (Corp. U.S.) to tax corporations. We agree, considering that they were obviously created only under the authority of Corp. U.S. Two months later Corp. U.S.' Congress entered their 17th Amendment as ratified. Again in the corporate ratification pattern of the Corp. U.S. 16th amendment was followed with actual State ratification. This amendment is not even constitutional; the Constitution forbids Congress from even discussing the matter of where Senators are elected. For our national Congress to pass such an Amendment they would first have to amend the Constitution to allow their discussion of the matter. Either way the result is that in Corp. U.S. their corporate officials known as Senators would thereafter be elected by a popular vote of their contracted voting public, while in the actual government (hereafter \"original jurisdiction government\") Senators would continue to be appointed by the State's Legislature or by the State's Governor. In other words, the Corp. U.S. seats and the original jurisdiction government seats would not thereafter be seated by the same individual.

In 1914, the Freshman class and all Senators that successfully ran for reelection in 1913 by popular vote are seated in Corp. U.S. capacity only and the original jurisdiction Senate seat was vacated, because the States failed to appoint new Senators (after all no law compels them to).

In 1916, President Wilson is reelected by the Electoral College but their election is required to be confirmed by the constitutionally set Senate; where the new Corp. U.S. only Senators were allowed to participate in the Electoral College vote confirmation the only authority that could possibly have been used for electoral confirmation was corporate only. Therefore, President Wilson was not confirmed into office for his second term as President of the United States of America and was only seated

See ‘QUIT CLAIM’
Continued on Page 19

CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE FOR VALUE FOR PROOF OF CLAIM

So here's the question; 'Do you have the agreement to settle and discharge the matter with your 'opponent'?' CAFV for Proof of Claim not only establishes the agreement but your opponent agrees to 'criminal complaint,' 'Tort,' 'Discharge by Bill of Exchange, Private Bond, or other appropriate commercial paper , or whatever you want!'

14 files PLUS - that covers IRS, Vehicle Registration, Mortgage Contrant, Traffic Issue, Money Debt Collection, Private Matter (Newspaper), Property Tax CRIMINAL CASE and one to the Attorney General... with Affidavit of Default, Certif. of Non-Response, Ending paragraph, samples and MORE!!!

GET THEIR AGREEMENT TO DISCHARGE!!!
Only \$75.00 Money Order Only - Shipping Included
All on a 'FLOPPY DISK' Order from;

The American's Bulletin
P.O. Box 3096 • Central Point, Oregon 97502

CAFV 'HARD-copy' for those INCARCERATED NOW
Available @ \$35 Shipping Included!

‘Highway’

Continued From Page 8

Inevitably, this argument will close with a blustery, “Well, there’s always going to be a few who have no respect (or skill)”. The answer to this is, good laws are not enacted to cover exceptions, and this is the purpose of peace officers. Typically, the visible presence of a peace officer is sufficient to inspire the most obnoxious, exhibitionistic, compulsive members of society to appropriate standards of conduct. However, if such a person were to insist on brandishing a two-ton weapon on the highway, threatening the lives and safety of fellow citizens, a peace officer would certainly have probable cause to apprehend and remove that person from our midst. That kind of conduct is “criminal” in nature.

“Traffic violations,” which usually harm no one, are not committed with intent to injure. Sometimes they are committed without knowledge of having done so at all.

Historically, Oregonians traveled without a license. In the era when highway travel was dependent on huge and potentially dangerous horses and fragile, high centered carriages and wagons that horses pulled, even young children were encouraged to learn to ride so they could help with the business of life. If the concept of “license”, as a device to keep us safe, was ever needed, it was before the advent of the automobile that always does what you intend it to do. Deaths and disabilities per traveled mile in the horse and buggy era were far more frequent than today. In 1905, when hardly anyone owned an automobile for personal use, and many people had yet to even see one, Oregon’s Legislature enacted the State’s first “motor vehicle laws”. The intent was to make sure that the commercial operators who used these fast, efficient devices to transport goods and passengers would not do so to the detriment of the public’s “preferred use” of the roads (judicial language for what the people did on the roads in contrast to “privileged operation”). Fee schedules were established for motor vehicles based on load capacity, tire width, whether the tire was solid or pneumatic, and whether a vehicle would be garaged in the same place at night (obviously, the ones that were, didn’t go as far in a day and thus impacted fewer miles of the public’s highway system).

Ego centric as many of us are, there is a common misperception that roads are designed for the benefit of the traveling public. Not so! Each surface bearing “traffic” (a commercial term) must be engineered to withstand the impact of the heaviest vehicles that will pass over them. Thus, it is that while the people build roads that take them where they need to go and home again, it is the commercial use, or “traffic,” that uses them up and necessitates

the vast majority of routine highway maintenance. This is precisely why the Motor Vehicle Acts in the early 20th Century started off by saying, we, the legislature, intend to regulate every vehicle that transports persons or property for hire over the public highways and impose fees on them to fix the damage they cause (see this typical language in General Laws of Oregon 1925, Chapter 380). According to the recent past counsel to the legislature, Greg Chaimov, the 1925 enactment has the most recent legislative definition of “motor vehicle,” any vehicle transporting for compensation or hire.

There is abundant cross reference to the legislative intent to regulate the business of transporting. The General Laws of Oregon 1921, Chapter 412 was preceded by thoughtful “whereas” language, which clearly reveals that the concern was over commercial vehicles. The Legislature wrote that whereas the heavier a truck is laden, the more damage it will do to the road and the more gas it will use, and similarly, the further a truck is driven, the more damage it does and the more gas it uses. Therefore, to “make more perfect the privilege tax” on the operation of motor vehicles, we will impose a penny a gallon tax on motor vehicle fuel.

Until 1911, the driver license was called an “Operator’s license.” Those who remember their Latin will understand that the term “operator” has to do with compensated labor. When the Legislative Assembly met in 1911, they created a second driver license for the operators whose business was transporting “passengers” and their baggage. The 1911 license was called a “Chauffeur’s license.”

In 1916 the Oregon Supreme Court ruled, incidental to a decision in the matter of Kurtz v Southern Pacific Co. (80 Or 218-219, “80” being the Volume #, “Or” being the abbreviation for Oregon Supreme Court Reports, and the final numbers being the page numbers), that it is the right of citizens to “control” a vehicle on the roads and streets, whether for pleasure, profit or gain, but when one undertakes the occupation of transporting for hire, one becomes subject to licensing.

Bear in mind that the emerging technology that has become the automobile of today had not even climbed onto the assembly line until Henry Ford figured out that the only way to make cars affordable for all was to introduce task specialization in 1919. If you were like most Oregonians who were able to “go for a drive” in the country on a weekend lark during the 1920s, you were going to hire a car and driver. To meet the demand for the popular experience of going for a drive, a number of firms began to rent cars on a “drive it yourself” basis.

In 1929 the Public Utilities Commission, which was in charge of the people’s

Transportation Infrastructure at the time, and was therefore financially interested in motor vehicle fee collection, asked Mr. Attorney General Isaac Van Winkle for his official opinion on whether rental cars were regulated “motor vehicles.” In the hardbound volumes of “Attorney General’s Opinions” and dated August 28, 1929, Mr. Van Winkle agreed with a recent Washington Supreme Court decision in which the Court ruled that automobiles rented from Hertz for personal use were not subject to the motor vehicle laws because they were not rented with drivers for hire. Mr. Van Winkle went on to say that the laws of Washington are just like the General Laws of Oregon 1925, Chap. 380, as amended by General Laws of Oregon 1929, Chap. 394.

Oregon went through and survived the Great Depression. More cars were purchased for personal use and the state highway system expanded rapidly. However, for as yet unexplained reasons, the fees paid by the trucking industry and other commercial interests diminished significantly as a percentage of their revenues and per miles of highway “transportation” (Black’s Law Dictionary, 3rd Edition, defines “transportation” as the movement of goods and passengers by a [common] carrier over the highways and waterways). The practice of insuring highway users among the major insurance companies came to be restricted exclusively to vehicles “registered” to do business and operated by “licensed drivers” {see current ORS 803.305(14) {c}}. What did not change in the years between 1911 and 1985 were the classes of driver license.

The Minor Court Rules Committee was an aptly named agency of the Oregon Supreme Court. It was charged with creating rules and procedure for the “inferior tribunals” which were set up to consider violations of licensing agreements that commercial Operators have with the State, including “Traffic Courts” Created in 1957, the Minor Court Rules Committee had long been concerned with how to properly relate with the people who had no statutory obligations, but for their own reasons had chosen to apply for all of the titles, registrations and licenses that the DMV was willing to sell. The Minor Court Rules Committee was composed of state and local judges, public attorneys, the Superintendent of State Police and bureaucrats, including the Director of the DMV.

Driven by the popularity of the licensing laws, and in no small part, the concerns of the Minor Court Rules Committee, the Legislature’s Transportation Committees decided to undertake a major revision of the Motor Vehicle Laws during the first three sessions of the 1980’s. As the revision was being completed in 1985, the Minor Court Rules Committee sent the Director of the DMV to visit with the Joint Transportation Committee that was revising the Vehicle Code. The DMV Director brought with him the key to “legally” (what is written in law, distinct from “lawfully”) bring the people into Traffic Court. The plan is known as the “Optional Titling Rule.” Being nothing more than “citizen legislators” are, most of the Joint Committee members did not remember the original purpose of the motor vehicle laws. They were somewhat puzzled when the DMV Director’s petition was read and admission made that there “is no authority in current law” to issue documents of vehicle title and registration and to thereby turn personal use vehicles into regulated “motor vehicles” (the Director’s petition is labeled Exhibit B in

support of Senate Bill 124 of 1985). The Chairwoman however, had served a number of successive sessions as the Chair of the House Transportation Committee and then Chair of the Senate Transportation Committee, so she was able to smooth the way to passage of the Optional Titling Rule, now encoded in the Oregon Revised Statutes at 803.035 through 803.040 (and 803.310 for the optional registration component). The DMV now possessed authority to create an agency rule allowing it to title and register vehicles, which had never been subject to the motor vehicle laws, but only if an owner, should request. The law specifically says that the effect of titling with the DMV is to make a vehicle “subject to all of the provisions of the vehicle code.”

Another significant piece of legislation proposed by the DMV in 1985 was to change the basis of classes of Driver license from the occupation of the driver, to the type of vehicle the driver operated. Remember that the two occupational licenses to this point were the operator’s and the chauffeur’s license. So it came about with a minimum of fuss, that from then on, there would be three classes of driver license, which are today the Class A commercial, Class B commercial, and in somewhat contorted language, the Class C commercial license, with endorsements, and then redundantly described without endorsements. It may be of some interest to those who have purchased the Class C license without endorsements, to know that the difference between needing an endorsement or not, is the difference between a 26,000 gross vehicle weight (GVW) truck and one which is 26,001 or larger, or between 15 and 16 passengers. An operator of a hazardous waste truck also must have an endorsement on the Class C license. For a little perspective, please consider that personal use vehicles will typically weight somewhere between 3,000 pounds on the small fuel efficient end of the scale, and perhaps approaching 6,000 pounds if it is a king cab 4X4 pickup with all the options.

What is more important to this discussion is what the legislature did not enact. The revision of the vehicle code did not include amending the class of “drivers” who were, and remain, those licensed to engage in the “occupation” of transporting persons or property for compensation. Indeed Laws of Oregon 1983, Chapter 338, Section 3 specifically says that the intent of the legislature “is not to change the law with this revision, but to make it easier to use, understand and amend... and every state agency and every court shall regard this revision as a continuation of the laws in effect at the time of this revision”. The language defining “highway” at former ORS 482.010(5), which read: “highway means every public way of whatever nature, open to public vehicular travel as a matter of right” was amended to: all the places “... open, used or intended for use of the general public for vehicles or vehicular traffic as a matter of right.”

The very knowledgeable Chairwoman of the Joint Committee on the Revision of the Vehicle Code soon became the next DMV director. Even though the legislative record now contained an admission that the DMV had never possessed the authority to title and register the people’s vehicles, personal use vehicles continued to be turned into regulated “motor vehicles”...“without authority in law” (illegally). Just before Thanksgiving of 2004 and several DMV Directors later, the process of adopting the rule to implement DMV’s

new authority was begun when a member of the general public asked to inspect a copy of the Optional Titling Rule, and it was discovered that it had yet to be written. The proposed Oregon Administrative Rule, “OAR” was assigned the number 735-022-0120.

The notification of rule making required by law was drafted, stating that only “members of the general public who choose to apply for title” (which are actually “certificates of title”) from the DMV will be financially impacted. Possibly, due to the crush of the upcoming holiday season, DMV did not send out the legally required notices to the public or to the “financially impacted parties,” which just happened to be the public. Not one member of the public attended the December 21 public hearing on the proposed rule that would make it possible for them to “legally” turn their personal use vehicles into regulated “motor vehicles” when, and if, they might choose to do so.

Before a DMV administrative rule is made final, unpaid citizen volunteers who are appointed by the Governor as “Transportation Commissioners” must cast a supportive vote. Although the DMV did not provide the Commissioners with the legislative history to facilitate an informed vote, the Commissioners voted unanimously to adopt the Optional Titling Rule on February 16, 2005. The momentum had finally built up in favor of allowing the public to legally convert their vehicles into regulated “motor operator,” in all likelihood that Oregonian will have a legislator who voted to retain the language defining highways as all the places used by the public as a matter of right. Dave Henderson of Legislative Administration can provide you the text of HB 3445 and the role call vote of the legislature, so you can see if your rep or senator was one of the few who voted no, or who were absent when the vote was taken. Imagine calling your legislator to testify in traffic court that absent your fully informed choice to title and register your vehicle, you could not have lawfully relinquished your right in favor of the privilege and become “subject to all of the provisions of the vehicle code.” “Those who fail to learn from history are doomed to repeat it.” “The cost of freedom is constant vigilance.” “An educated citizenry is essential to the functioning of a free society.” If you, the reader, provide a name of one of those quoted here, and send a dollar with a SASE, the Committee for Appropriate Enforcement of Motor Vehicle Laws will send you a copy of BB 3445 signed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the President of the Senate and the Governor.

For many people, the argument based on Legislative Construction, or the way the laws are written, is the most fun to make. This argument provides an opportunity for puzzle solvers to participate in a lively round of competitiveness. Of course, you have to come up with an opponent who is willing to take you on.

The Rules of Legislative Construction are few and easy to understand. The prime source for the rules is the Oregon Constitution in Article IV (four) regarding the Legislative Assembly, beginning at Section 20. Sections 20, 21, 22, and 27 are the relevant sections. A second place to learn the simple Rules of Legislative Construction is in Chapter 174 of the Oregon Revised Statutes. While the first three sections of that chapter will get most puzzle solvers by just fine, 174.530 is designed to be the rule of last resort: Section .530 says that when “ambiguity” in the statutes cannot otherwise be resolved, “resort to the Acts” shall be taken.

Some people who may wish to try this argument will need a little help with the rule of last

THE NATIONALIST TIMES

Subscribe to America’s best patriotic newspaper. In each big 20-page issue, The Nationalist Times tackles politics, race, immigration, social issues, privacy issues, and all the latest doings of the New World Order subversives, and we do it from a perspective that infuriates liberals and neo-cons and delights patriots.

Globalism is powering both the Democrats and Republicans these days in service to the American Imperial Empire. The only antidote to one-worldism is nationalism, the kind advocated each month by The Nationalist Times.

Published since 1985, The Nationalist Times is politically independent and promotes a common sense, intelligent and passionate alternative to the reigning “party line.”

Readers of The American’s Bulletin may subscribe to The Nationalist Times for the special low introductory offer of just \$19 for one year. That’s more than half off the regular subscription price! Send your subscription to: The Nationalist Times, P.O. Box 426, Allison Park, PA 15101.

ATTENTION VETERANS
www.lostvets.com

‘Quit Claim’

Continued From Page 16

in the Corp. U.S. Presidential capacity. Therefore the original jurisdiction government’s seats were vacated because the people didn’t seat any original jurisdiction government officers.

In 1917, Corp. U.S. enters W.W.I and passes their Trading with the Enemies Act. In 1933, Corp. U.S. went bankrupt and the States agreed to support their resolution. In keeping with the bankruptcy, the Corp. U.S. Congress adjusted their Trading with the Enemies Act with their Emergency War Powers Act, which recognized the people of the United States of America are enemies of Corp. U.S.

No Elections since 1913

Therefore; there was no election of officers of the government of the United States of America. And all of America was none the wiser. The government was still there and the Constitution was still alive and well and living in Washington, D.C. but once again there was nobody sitting in the seats of the officers of government; just like it was when the founding fathers signed the Constitution but the States had not ratified it, the government existed but no one was seated in office. There hasn’t been an Election since, and there won’t be one until America once again wakes up. [This is why committed conservative constitutionalists like Ron Paul are blacklisted.] This is fantastic, I know, but look at the facts! This is the only solution that makes sense and fits the facts.

U.N., IMF, & World Bank

So we jump from 1913 and the setting of the Federal Reserve Bank as the financier of Corp. U.S. to 1944 and W.W.II. The war was continuing and the United States was not fairing too well until the formation of The Bretton Woods Agreements and their new players—“The International Monetary Fund” (a.k.a. the “Fund”, hereinafter “IMF”), and “The World Bank for Reconstruction and Development” (a.k.a. the “Bank”, hereinafter “World Bank”). Make sure you’re sitting down for this one.

The United States Code

(USC) Title 22 § 286 reads: “§ 286. Acceptance of membership by the United States in International Monetary Fund. “The President is hereby authorized to accept membership for the United States in the International Monetary Fund (hereinafter referred to as the “Fund”), and in the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (hereinafter referred to as the “Bank”), provided for by the Articles of Agreement of the Fund and the Articles of Agreement of the Bank as set forth in the Final Act of the United Nations Monetary and Financial Conference dated July 22, 1944, and deposited in the archives of the Department of State. (July 31, 1945, ch. 339, § 2, 59 Stat. 512.) Short titles: ... May be cited as the ‘Bretton Woods

Agreements Act’.

“Other provisions: Par value modification. For the Congressional direction that the Secretary of the Treasury maintain the value in terms of gold of the Inter-American Development Bank’s holdings of United States dollars following the establishment of a par value of the dollar at \$38 for a fine troy ounce of gold pursuant to the Par Value Modification Act and for the authorization of the appropriations necessary to provide such maintenance of value, see 31 USC § 449a.” (accents in red added). [It should be noted that recently, to cover-up the Bretton Woods Agreements (hereinafter “BWA”) control and the quitclaim of the United States Government to the IMF, the United States Congress abolished the references in the USC referring to the BWA. Other than removing such references that abolishment had no effect on the BWA.]

The Quit Claim Deed

The agreement further transfers the assets of the United States Treasury to the IMF by stating words to the effect of: ‘the United States Treasury is now the Individual Drawing account of the IMF’. Think about it. “The President is hereby authorized to accept membership for the United States in the IMF” The President is authorized by whom? By Congress? No. According to the Act the authorization came from, “the Articles of Agreement of the Fund and the Articles of Agreement of the Bank as set

forth in the Final Act of the United Nations Monetary and Financial Conference dated July 22, 1944”, a.k.a. The Bretton Woods Agreement’s final act.

Even if Congress could have authorized such a thing, where would they get the authority to so do? Certainly not from the Constitution, and Congress can’t lawfully do anything the Constitution doesn’t authorize them to do. Even under the President’s dictatorial authority of martial law, the President cannot lawfully do anything not authorized in the Constitution.

The Constitution plainly states: “The enumeration in the Constitution of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.” Ninth amendment; and, “The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.” Tenth Amendment

Further this joining in the IMF is obviously an international agreement; and, any good dictionary will define, “an agreement between nations” as a, “Treaty”. The constitution is very specific on how treaties are to be engaged in with this nation — First, the President signs the treaty; and Second, the Senate ratifies his signature with a two-thirds majority vote. That didn’t happen here.

So if the right wasn’t given in the Constitution, Congress can’t take it and give it to the President. This act itself states that the alleged authorization came from the “Final Act of the United Nations Monetary and Financial Conference” instead of from Congress.

Now, hold on a second here. There are too many things going on here that can’t be; too many conflicts. Even in a corrupt government they’d never get away with it.

I was watching Star Trek one time when Spock explained a logical solution to an identity problem like this, ‘When you examine the solutions and you discover what cannot be, the solution can only be whatever is left.’

That’s the problem here, in Law, it cannot be what it seems to be, yet it is. The United States of America cannot be a member in the IMF, and the Treasury of the United States of America [v. the Treasury of the United States (corp.)] cannot be turned over to a foreign bank’s control. The only thing left is they must be talking about Corp. U.S. which was quit claimed to the IMF under the Bretton Woods Agreement as a settlement of W.W.II; that makes Corp. U.S. a private foreign corporation. We can find nothing that says a corporation cannot quit claim itself to another owner, foreign or otherwise.

Now think about it. And, this time instead of thinking the government did it (because they couldn’t have), think about Corp. U.S. OK. In that case where it says, “The President is hereby authorized to accept membership for the United States”, “United States” as used here can only mean be the trademark name for the corporation known as, “The District of Columbia” in other words the corporation formed in 1871, and not the government.

Want further confirmation? OK. In the “Other provisions:” section it talks about, “the Secretary of Treasury”, which is an officer of the corporation only. That position does not exist in the national government. The relatively equivalent position in national government is, “the Treasurer of the United States of America” and that seat was vacated by an Act of Congress in 1920.

As a matter of fact when you review the whole document, Title 22 § 286, and the underlying “Bretton Woods Agreement”, you’ll find these elements.

One — Corp. U.S.’ signs the Bretton Woods Agreements (treaty) and Congress gives Title 22 § 286 the short title of Bretton Woods Agreement Act. Two — In said Agreement, Congress Grants to the IMF the “United States Treasury”

as, “The individual drawing account” for the IMF.

Three — “The President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, shall appoint a governor of the Fund who shall serve as a governor of the Bank” USC 22 § 286a.

The person the President chose as Governor of the World Bank and IMF is Corp. U.S.’ Secretary of the Treasury. [He is paid by the foreign corporation.] The elements of a Quit Claim Deed are: there must be a Grantor, a Grantee, and some thing, asset or right must be granted.

In this case the thing being granted is a corporation known as, “The District of Columbia”, trademark names, THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, United States, U.S., USA, America, etc.; its assets are its Treasury (The United States Treasury), and its purpose is to carry out the business needs of the national government of United States of America. Up until the Bretton Woods Agreement, the owner of Corp. U.S. was the United States of America, the actual government; thereafter it was the IMF. The Treasury of the corporation was granted by Grantor, the government of the United States of America (Congress and the President) to the Grantee, the IMF.

Therefore USC Title 22 § 286 exemplifies the Quit Claim Deed of Corp. U.S. from The United States of America to the IMF, which is owned and controlled by the Great Britain’s Bank of International Settlements. Up to the point of the quit claim deed, there was allegedly no conflict in interests between Corp. U.S. and its owner the national government of the United States of America, but after the quit claim deed, with the new owner being foreign and having foreign interests, there is a gigantic conflict in interests. Upon review of these actions, as Spock would say, that is the only solution left when you remove all other options.

The States join Corp. U.S.

Starting around 1962 and continuing through 1968. Corp. U.S. went to the States and pointed out to them that their own constitutions forbid them from participating in foreign currencies and/or foreign loans, foreign bonds, etc., and yet they were dealing in the foreign note system of Federal Reserve Notes. They were warned that if the people became aware of this they could imagine a scene similar to that of the Magna Carta signing where the Lords held a sword to the King’s head and said sign or we’ll get a new king.

The king signed, as did the States. One by one, they organized private corporations as sub-corps. to Corp. U.S. For example, Colorado rewrote Colorado’s Constitution, revised

their Colorado Revised Statutes (CRS), and enacted CRS Title 24 as the “Administrative Organization Act of 1968” restructuring its laws in 1968. Said Title 24 is the new corporate charter for, “THE STATE OF COLORADO” which is Corp. U.S. possession.

By 1972 every State in the Union had done the same thing. The California Republic, formed “THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA”; The Republic of Texas formed “THE STATE OF TEXAS”; The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, formed “THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA”; and so it went, until each and every State had formed a private corporation of a name like “THE STATE OF _____”, where the blank is a common name for the State. As people registered to vote with these corporations they participated in their elections of corporate officials and bonded debts; they also stopped electing original jurisdiction State government officials, thus unknowingly vacating their actual State governments.

Where Are You, Do You Know? Like a ship at sea, in order to plot a course, you need to know: who you are, where you are, where you’re going and which way the wind is going (what the enemy is doing).

Who are you? Answer: According to Foundational Law in the United States of America, that being recognized as the King James Version of the Bible (see Public Law 97-280), God created man in His own image, giving man dominion, agency and possession (sovereignty) and a commandment to multiply, replenish and subdue the earth (stewardship). With a promise that if we will obey this commandment and remain not of this world then shall we receive our inheritance in His Kingdom. This is a start from foundational law. Should we accept that stewardship, we also have direction in taking responsibility for our stewardship.

Where are you? Answer: You’re living in a nation where the chosen form of government is a Constitutional Republic, and where, historically, almost no elections of government officials have been held since 1913, and where a private foreign corporation is responsible for providing the business needs of the government under a direct conflict of interest, which government again is alive and well and living in Washington, D.C.— there just are no officers of government sitting in the seats the Constitution provides. It will serve you well to remember that Corp. U.S. has declared war against the people of this nation.

Where are you going? Answer: Considering the fact

See ‘QUIT CLAIM’

Continued on Page 22



‘Justice’

Continued From Page 9

did not remove himself from “the well.” Brushing aside Montelione’s repeated requests for the reasons behind the AG’s records request, he repeatedly threatened her with prison and issued an order that she turn over her records. Judge Clark also ignored her motions to dismiss and her challenge to the jurisdiction of that court. Tellingly, Clark also failed to respond to Montelione’s questions as to how the AG could have issued a records subpoena when there was no ongoing court case.

In order to close down the case, Montelione set up a private indemnity bond with the secretary of the Treasury and had a notary public tender a bonded promissory note. Clark dishonored the bonded promissory note and on March 28 issued a bench warrant for Montelione.

She was arrested at her home on the 29th. In a rush to judgment, she was given an ex parte hearing on March 31 where she was found guilty on charges of civil and criminal

contempt, and remanded by Dauphin County prison for a term of three to six months.

Deanna Muller, of the Dauphin County Public Defender’s office, did not return calls from the Bulletin inquiring about the Montelione case.

The above cases, although different in type and venue, all point to an underlying reality—that justice has become discretionary. We appear now to be living under ‘the rule of men’ and not ‘the rule of law.’ In order to clear up the confusion as to who has rights and who does not in the “land of the free and home of the brave,” I am proposing the following solution: Dust off the yellow stars, and send them out to the folks who do not have rights. In this way, we can stop beating our heads against a hostile justice system and go about our business as second (or third) class citizens.

On the other hand, we could re-institute the Constitution and Bill of Rights, which have been shredded beyond recognition. What a novel idea...



DIDYOU KNOW
THAT...

**NATURE HAS A
REMEDY FOR ALL
YOUR AILMENTS**

The booklet,
**SECRET NUTRITIONAL
FORMULAS..**
....contains many health
formulas which have been
used for years by many
people to maintain good
health without any weekly
trips to the doctor! Oop’s,
can’t say that too loud! But
NOW you can have those
very formulas for your own
health and your family! Eat
right, exercise, and learn
how to treat your own health
problems. It’s easy with
these formulas! This
excellent booklet can start
you on the right track to
good health and long life!

**ORDER YOUR COPY
TODAY!**

ONLY \$10.00

Send Cash, or Postal Money Order
(Made out to ‘The American’s
Bulletin’ and mail to:
**The American’s Bulletin
P.O. Box 3096
Central Point, Oregon
97502**

Shipping included! Allow four
weeks for delivery!

**HARD TO FIND
100% EDTA**

**For Oral Chelation –
Removes arterial
plaque, heavy metals
and cholesterol from
your body’s 75,000
miles of blood
vessels.**

**Potential benefits of
EDTA Chelation;
Improves heart
function, Dissolves
small cataracts,
Reduces Alzheimer-
like symptoms,
Improves memory,
Reverses diabetic
gangrene and MUCH
MORE!**

**GET THE FREE REPORT ON
THE WEB:
www.divservices.com**

**Diversified Services
P.O. Box 151118
Cape Coral, Florida 33915**

Or to Order, Call:

1-800-913-9508

info@divservices.com

‘Delusion’

Continued From Page 8

citizens of the State where they resided and ipso facto a citizen of the United States. A citizen is a member of a fictional entity and it is synonymous with subject. What you think is a state is in reality a corporation, in other words, a Person. “Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is Person.” 9 F. Supp 272 “Word “person” does not include state. 12 Op Atty Gen 176.

There are no states, just corporations. Every body politic on this planet is a corporation. A corporation is an artificial entity, a fiction at law. They only exist in your mind. They are images in your mind, that speak to you. We labor, pledge our property and give our children to a fiction. For an in-depth look into the nature of these corporations and to see how you also have been declared a fictional entity. See: AMERICAN LAW AND PROCEDURE. JURISPRUDENCE AND LEGAL INSTITUTIONS. VOL.XIII By James De Witt Andrews LL.B. (Albany Law School), LL.D. (Ruskin University) from La Salle University. This book explains in detail the nature and purpose of these corporations, you will be stunned at what you read. Now before we go any further let us examine a few things in the Constitution. Article six section one keeps the loans from the King valid it states; “All Debts contracted and Engagements entered into, before the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be as valid against the United States under this Constitution, as under the Confederation.”

Another interesting tidbit can be found at Article One Section Eight clause Two which states that Congress has the power to borrow money on the credit of the United States. This was needed so the United States (Which went into Bankruptcy on January 1, 1788) could borrow money and then because the States were a party to the Constitution they would also be liable for it. The next underhanded move was the creation of The United States Bank in 1791. This was a private Bank of which there were 25,000 shares issued of which 18,000 were held by those in England. The Bank loaned the United States money in exchange for Securities of the United States. Now the creditors of the United States which included the King wanted paid the Interest on the loans that were given to the United States. So Alexander Hamilton came up with the great idea of taxing alcohol. The people resisted so George Washington sent out the militia to collect the tax which they did. This has become known as the Whiskey rebellion. It is the Militia's duty to collect taxes. How did the United States collect taxes off of the people if the people are not a party to the Constitution? I'll tell you how. The people are slaves! The United States belongs to the founding fathers, their posterity and Great Britain. America is nothing more than a Plantation. It always has been. How many times have you seen someone in court attempt to use the Constitution and then the Judge tells him he can't. It is because you are not a party to it. We are SLAVES!!!!!!

If you don't believe read Padelford, Fay & Co. vs. The Mayor and Aldermen of the City of Savannah. 14 Georgia 438, 520 which states “ But, indeed, no private person has a right to complain, by suit in court, on the ground of a breach of the Constitution, the Constitution, it is true, is a compact but he is not a party to it.” Now back to the Militia. Just read Article One Section Eight clause (15) which states that it is the militia's job to execute the laws of the Union. Now read Clause (16) Which states that Congress has the power to provide for organizing, arming, and disciplining the Militia, and for governing such part of them as may be employed in the service of the

United States.... the Militia is not there to protect you and me, it is their duty to collect our substance. As you can plainly see all the Constitution did is set up a Military Government to guard the King's commerce and make us slaves. If one goes to 8 U.S. statutes at large 116-132 you will find “The Treaty of Amity, Commerce and Navigation”. This Treaty was signed on November 19th, 1794 which was twelve years after the War. Article 2 of the Treaty states that the King's Troops were still occupying the United States. Being the nice King that he was, he decided that the troops would return to England by June 1st, 1796. The troops were still on American soil because, quite frankly the King wanted them here. Many people tend to blame the Jews for our problems, but they too are for the most part also slaves. Jewish Law does however govern the entire world, as found in Jewish Law by MENACHEM ELON, DEPUTY PRESIDENT SUPREME COURT OF ISRAEL, to wit: “Everything in the Babylonian Talmud is binding on all Israel. Every town and country must follow all customs, give effect to the decrees, and carry out the enactments of the Talmudic sages, because the entire Jewish people accepted everything contained in Talmud. The sages who adopted the enactment's and decrees, instituted the practices, rendered the decisions, and derived the laws, constituted all or most of the Sages of Israel. It is they who received the tradition of the fundamentals of the entire Torah in unbroken succession going back to Moses, our teacher.”

We are living under what the Bible calls Mammon. As written in the subject Index, Mammon is defined as (“Civil law and procedure”).

Now turn to the “The Shetars Effect on English Law” -- A Law of the Jews Becomes the Law of the Land, found in “The George Town Law Journal, Vol 71: pages 11791200.” It is clearly stated in the Law Review that the Jews are the property of the Norman and Anglo-Saxon Kings. It also explains that the Talmud is the law of the land. It explains how the Babylonian Talmud became the law of the land, which is now known as the Uniform Commercial Code which is private international law. The written credit agreement -- the Jewish shetar is a lien on all of the property in the world. The treatise also explains that the Jews are owned by Great Britain and that the Jews are in charge of the Baking system. We are living under the Babylonian Talmud. It was brought into England in 1066 and has been enforced by the Pope, Kings and the various religions ever since. It is total and relentless mind control, people are taught to believe in things that do not exist. Private International Law, which is commercial law, only

deals with fictions, known as persons. A person is a fictional entity at law, not a living being. See UCC 1-201.

Now before you scream that the UCC is unconstitutional I'm sorry people, you are not a party to any constitution. Read the case cite below. “But, indeed, no private person has a right to complain, by suit in court, on the ground of a breach of the Constitution. The Constitution it is true, is a compact, but he is not a party to it.” Padelford, Fay & Co., vs. Mayor and Aldermen of the City of Savannah 14 Ga. 438, 520 You have to understand that Great Britain, (Article six Section one) the United States and the States are the parties to the Constitution not you. Let me try to explain. If I buy an automobile from a man and that automobile has a warranty and the engine blows up the first day I have it. Then I tell the man just forget about it. Then you come along and tell the man to pay me and he says no. So you take him to court for not holding up the contract. The court then says case dismissed. Why? Because you are not a party to the contract. You cannot sue a government official for not adhering to a contract (Constitution) that you are not a party too. You better accept the fact that you are a Slave. When you try to use the Constitution you are committing a CRIME known as CRIMINAL TRESPASS. Why? Because you are attempting to infringe on a private contract that you are not a party to. Then to make matters worse you are a debt slave who owns no property or has any rights. You are a mere user of your Masters property! Here are just a couple of examples:

“The primary control and custody of infants is with the government” Tillman V. Roberts. 108 So. 62

“Marriage is a civil contract to which there are three parties-the husband, the wife and the state.” Van Koten v. Van Koten. 154 N.E. 146.

“The ultimate ownership of all property is in the State: individual so-called 'ownership' is only by virtue of Government, i.e. law amounting to mere user; and use must be in accordance with law and subordinate to the necessities of the State. Senate Document No. 43 73rd Congress 1st Session. (Brown v. Welch supra)

You own no Property because you are a slave. Really, you are worse off than a slave is because you are also a debtor.

“The right of traffic or the transmission of property, as an absolute inalienable right, is one which has never existed since governments were instituted, and never can exist under government.” Wynehamer v. The People. 13 N.Y. Rep.378, 481 Great Britain to this day collects taxes from the American people. The IRS is not an Agency of the United States Government.

See APFN web page <http://www.apfn.org/apfnlirstax.htm>

All taxpayers have an Individual Master File, which is in code. By using IRS Publication 6209, which is over 400 pages, there is a blocking series which shows the taxpayer the type of tax that is being paid. Most taxpayers fall under a 300-399 blocking series, which 6209 states is reserved, but by going to BMF 300-399 which is the Business Master File in 6209 prior to 1991, this was U.S.-U.K. Tax Claims, meaning taxpayers are considered a business and involved in commerce and are held liable for taxes via a treaty between the U.S. and the U.K., payable to the U.K. The form that is supposed to be used for this is form 8288, FIRPTA-Foreign Investment Real Property Tax Account. The 8288 form is in the Law Enforcement Manual of the IRS, chapter 3. The OMB's-paper-Office of Management and Budget, in the Department of Treasury, List of Active Information collections, Approved Under Paperwork Reduction Act is where form 8288 is found under OMB number 1545-0902, which says U.S. with holding tax return for dispositions by foreign persons, of U.S. Form #8288, #8288a. These codes have since been changed to read as follows: IMF 300-309, Barred Assessment, CP 55 generated valid for MFT-30, which is the code for the 1040 form. IMF 310-399 reads the same as IMF 300-309, BMF 390-399 reads U.S.-U.K. Tax Treaty Claims. Isn't it INCREDIBLE that a 1040 form is a payment of a tax to the U.K.? Everybody is always looking to 26 U.S.C. for the law that makes one liable for the so called Income Tax but, it is not in there because it is not a Tax, it is debt collection through a private contract called the Constitution of the United States Article Six, Section One and various agreements. Is a cow paying an income tax when the machine gets connected to it's udders? The answer is no. I have never known a cow that owns property or has been compensated for its labor. You own nothing that your labor has ever produced. You don't even own your labor or yourself. Your labor is measured in current credit money, which is debt. You are allowed to retain a small portion of your labor so that you can have food, clothing shelter and most of all breed more slaves.

You see, we are cows, the IRS is company who milks the

cows and the United States Inc. is the veterinarian who takes care of the herd and Great Britain is the Owner of the farm in fee simple. The farm is held in allodium by the Pope. Now the picture will become much clearer after reading the next few paragraphs. We will now show the Popes involvement in the scheme of things. “Convinced that the principles of religion contribute most powerfully to keep nations in the state of passive obedience which they owe to their princes, the high contracting parties declare it to be their intention to sustain in their respective states, those measures which the clergy may adopt with the aim of ameliorating their interests, so intimately connected with the preservation of the authority of the princes; and the contracting powers join in offering their thanks to the Pope for what he has already done for them, and solicit his constant cooperation in their views of submitting the nations.” Article (3) Treaty of Varona (1822)

If the Sovereign Pontiff should nevertheless, insist on his law being observed he must be obeyed. Bened. XIV., De Syn. Dioec, lib, ix., c. vii., n. 4. Prati, 1844. Pontifical laws moreover become obligatory without being accepted or confirmed by secular rulers. Syllabus, prop. 28, 29, 44. Hence the jus nationale, (Federal Law) or the exceptional ecclesiastical laws prevalent in the United States, may be abolished at any time by the Sovereign Pontiff. Elements of Ecclesiastical Law. Vol. I 53-54. So could this be shown that the Pope rules the world? The Pope (Vicar of Christ) claims to be the ultimate owner of everything in the World. See Treaty of 1213, Papal Bulls of 1455 and 1492.

Don't let this information alarm you because without it you cannot be free. You have to understand that all slavery and freedom originates in the mind. When your mind allows you to accept and understand that the United States, Great Britain and the Vatican are corporations which are nothing but fictional entities which have been placed into your mind, you will understand that our slavery is because we believe in fictions.



A PLEA FOR HELP FROM PRISONERS/MAYBE AN ANSWER

Prisoners’ Self-Help Litigation Manual

Written by James L. Potts
Edited by Alvin J. Bronstein
Produced by The National Prison Project of the American Civil Liberties Union Foundation
Published and Copyrighted 1976

The following is quoted from the book: Page 42.

2. Right to Receive and Possess Reading Matter
Sostre v. McGinnis 442 F.2d 178 (2d Cir. 1971) (Right to possess political literature).
Cofone v. Manson, 409 F.Supp. 1033 (D.Conn. 1976) (A prisoner possesses First Amendment right to receive literature. The criteria for censorship of literature as “disruptive” is overboard and stricken)
Morgan v. La Valle, 526 F.2d 221 (2d Cir. 1975) (Prisoners have First Amendment right to possess ideas however abhorrent they may be to the prison officials)
Craig v. Hocker, 405 F.Supp. 656 (D.Nev. 1976) (Regulations regarding permitted reading matter stricken as not capable of uniform interpretation)
Sostre v. Otis, 330 F. Supp. 941 (S.D.N.Y. 1971) (Prison officials must provide due process hearing prior to refusal to admit publications into prison)

Page 43.
Burke v. Levi, 391 F.Supp.186 (E.D.Va. 1975) (“Publishers Only” rule held unconstitutional)

Page 45.
Sostre v. McGinnis 442 F.2d 178 (2d Cir. 1971) (Court held a Prisoner may not be punished for his beliefs or for the expression of them)

'Private Seal' for the Secured Party Creditor

You can now seal all of your legal, commercial documents and instruments with your own self-inking 'Private Seal'! Current variations of name may include as an example; John William Doe or John- William: Doe or John William of doe!



Please include the 'style' of your Name from one of above. The Name will not be done in capital letters!

Color of Ink is Purple only!

To Order Cash or Your Self-Inking Seal Stamp, send \$45.00 Postal Money Order Today... to:

The American's Bulletin
P.O. Box 3096
Central Point, Oregon 97502

BULLETIN BOARD

Prisoners... *HELP!*

Please **DO NOT** send or have sent to TAB:

- 1) Envelopes w/o funds (also coming from family, etc.)
- 2) Funds w/o a list of what's being ordered!
- 3) Stamps or funds to go on account only!
- 4) ... Or having your family member send in funds w/o a letter or order as to WHO and WHAT it is for!
- 5) We do not accept prison facility 'WITHDRAWAL SLIPS' as tender of payment for books and materials.

... mail coming in per the above is causing an extreme work-load that is unnecessary..... Thank you!

BOOK ORDER RETURN POLICY

ALL returned Books by the Post Office or otherwise and NOT the fault of TAB... to be RESHIPED - ...Additional Postage must be pre-paid before the Book(s) can be re-shipped to any stated address. If Book(s) are returned from Prison Facilities; direct all mail communications to TAB - Attention MMM P.O. Box 3096, Central Point, Oregon 97502



Help Us...
To Help YOU

You've
Got
Mail!

We would like to keep our reader's, their friends, family, members and business associates they tell about us better informed by providing all with the most **CURRENT & UP TO DATE** information available.

To help us do this we are asking for an email address which we **WILL NOT SELL OR SHARE WITH ANYONE ELSE!**

This will simplify getting information to you & them quickly when we get it - in between issues of The American's Bulletin or when it is important to let you know what is going on; such as an upcoming seminar, new book release, a new remedy or process that will **HELP YOU** & them stay ahead of the game and maintain your **FREEDOM!**

Email: jovusapallo@americansbulletin.com

On the subject line put: **ADD ME TO YOUR EMAIL LIST.** I will respond with another email asking you to **CONFIRM** wanting to be added to our list - this way we will be sure **YOU** want to be added to our list.

Thank you for your continued support of The American's Bulletin.

Back Issues of The American's Bulletin?

Single Issues at \$10.00 each (shipping included!)

Single Year - 2003, 2002, 2002, 2001 or 2000

At \$60.00 ea. Year (shipping and handling included!)

Send money order only made out to The American's Bulletin, indicate 'issue' or 'year' specifically. Include name and address with phone number.

-Thank you

NOTICE; When sending so-called monies or funds for books, materials or services, your order must be sent to our Post Office Box **ONLY** and addressed to The American's Bulletin. We will not be held liable or responsible for any deliveries to any outside address. Your option to send your order Certified Mail.

NOTICE OF FEE INCREASE ...

AS PER ISA - International Sovereigns Association -

Due to material and labor cost increases, the combined fee/cost to initiate the ISA formal process for one applicant is increased to \$1,100.00 and additional artwork on your flag/stamp/seal design if over and above 2 hours will be charges at \$80.00 an hour (keep design SIMPLE!) Above fee takes effect August 1, 2008.

Correction will be reflected in the ISA Packet as soon as possible. Thank you ... ISA

PRISONER BOOK ORDERS:

Please take notice that in the event that any Prison facility receives a book ordered from The American's Bulletin and the Facility/Mail Room opens the package and removes any number of pages from the book(s) or otherwise and/or destroys the book(s) or otherwise... The American's Bulletin IS NOT RESPONSIBLE for missing pages or book destruction!

If the prisoner can or wishes to replace the missing pages or destroyed book(s), the prisoner must pre-pay for additional copies (.25¢) or book replacement before replacement or copies can be sent in.

Thank you for
your understanding!
TAB

Note; To Prisoners who are sending in letters inquiring of the processes(s) that are being utilized with the intent to cause release; these processes are not guaranteed, no specific time can be stated.

The American's Bulletin

Court Security Improvement Act of 2007

The Court Security Improvement Act of 2007 (Public Law 110-177) was enacted into law on January 7, 2008. The Act adds two new provisions (18 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1521) to the Criminal Code that are of particular relevance to the inmate population.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1521 establishes a new criminal offense for filing, attempting to file, or conspiring to file, a false lien or encumbrance against the real or personal property of a Federal Judge or Federal law enforcement officer (as described in 18 U.S.C. § 1114). The offense is punishable by up to 10 years imprisonment.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 119 establishes a new criminal offense for making publicly available "restricted personal information" about a "covered individual" with the intent to threaten, intimidate, or incite a crime of violence against such persons, which includes court officers, jurors, witnesses, informants, Federal law enforcement officers as described in 18 U.S.C. § 1114, and others. The offense is punishable by up to 5 years imprisonment.

...

Memo from the desk of Barton A. Buhtz

I am including this memo to clarify an apparent confusion with many regarding the workings of the UCC/Redemption Process. I have received a number of notes, memorandums and letters that can be condensed into one concern and can be answered in one clarification. The concern: Why do the taxing agencies keep sending claims that have been discharged?

Clarification: Suppose you send me a billing statement. The first action I would take is to determine that I have the funds to cover the claim. So I make a deposit with the financial institution then issue a negotiable instrument to the claimant.

Now, understand I have verification from the financial institution that the instrument I issue is valid and covered. However, suppose you receive my instrument and you ignore it then respond to me with another claim as though I never tendered the instrument? What then?

That is exactly the problem many have with the IRS or a state-taxing agency. The proper notice and paperwork was sent to the Secretary of the Treasury that applied to the UCC Contract Trust. The discharge has been acknowledged by the Secretary of the Treasury.

You, the Secured Party have the Return Receipt as proof. So what to do when the taxing agent makes another identical demand on you?

We now know that we can turn to the Treasury Data Integrity Board under Treasury Directive 25-06 and related directives, the Office of Management and Budget, the Office. of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Department of the Treasury Financial Management Service. All of them have a myriad of references to "Acceptance For Value." They are well acquainted with the process.

Even the Supreme Court has made a final decision in your favor in Hallenbeck vs. Leimert, 295 U.S. 116.

So we keep responding to the taxing agent with the appropriate discharge documents until they act according to the law or are held accountable by these agencies. Don't give up. Be faithful. We will help you respond accordingly to each presentment. Eventually the taxing agent will get it right. We simply have to be consistent and patient.


...

Fair Use Notice

This newspaper may contain copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available in our efforts to advance understanding of political, human rights, economic, democracy, and social justice issues, etc. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material that may appear in this newspaper is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest via a subscription in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner.



Get **FREE**

ALTERNATIVE RESOURCES CATALOG




- Alternative Health
 - Secret Technology
 - Fuel Savers that Work
 - Credit Repair Secrets
 - Shocking DVDs
 - Right To Travel
 - Alternative Citizenship
 - Insider \$\$\$ Secrets
 - Privacy
 - Secret Legal Loopholes
 - Redemption
 - Offshore Banking
 - Wholesale Resources
 - Banned Books
 - Truth About 911
 - Secret Investments
- plus many more...

SECRET INSIDER INFORMATION



ACCESS OVER 400 ALTERNATIVE RESOURCES LIKE THESE!



EXPAND YOUR POSSIBILITIES

CALL 1-800-994-1490

TO GET YOUR FREE COPY NOW!

www.ToolsForFreedom.com

‘Drill’

Continued From Page 9

billion barrels of oil and 420 trillion cubic feet of natural gas.

There are 3,200 oil rigs off the coast of Louisiana. During Katrina, not a single drop was spilled.

More than 7 billion barrels have been pumped from these wells over the past quarter-century, yet only one thousandth of one percent has been spilled.

A study by Louisiana’s Sea Grant college shows that there’s 50 times more marine life around oil platforms that act as artificial reefs than in

the surrounding mud bottoms. Some 85% of Louisiana fishing trips involve fishing around these offshore rigs.

The Flower Garden coral reefs lie off the Louisiana-Texas border. They are surrounded by oil platforms that have been pumping for 50 years.

According to federal biologist G.P. Schmahl, “The Flower Gardens are much healthier, more pristine than anything in the Florida Keys. It was a surprise to me. And I think it’s a surprise to most people.”

We would suggest that John McCain revisit his reservations about ANWR and run against the drill-nothing Congress. Energy development and the environment are not

mutually exclusive.

In fact, we would suggest that the first joint town hall meeting with Barack Obama proposed by McCain be held on one of those offshore Louisiana rigs.

Fire Congress. Drill for Oil.



Editor comment; Now you why we have high gas prices! Not because of the selling price of a barrel of oil, no, because U.S. politicians are, aside from being lazy and greedy, but are involved in a scheme to not to use U.S.A. oil and to allow the high gas prices to affect the

‘Putin’

Continued From Page 14

Convention and put into effect by the US and the rest of the international community in 1980. We are legally within our rights to be free and independent,” said Means.”

Putin’s anger, according to these reports, is based upon the United States engineering of the failure of United Nations talks on the Serbian breakaway province of Kosovo which is seeking independence, and which, according to Russian experts, will lead to an ‘uncontrollable crisis’ throughout the Balkans.

Putin was reported to have told one of his top aides that ‘two can play at this game’,

in an obvious reference to the American President whom President Putin blames as being behind the machinations to increase instability along Russia’s borders with Eastern Europe.

Russian legal experts further state that of all of the United States Indian Tribes, the Lakota Sioux are the best positioned to have their declaration of independence from the American government recognized by the United Nations as they remain the only indigenous peoples in the US to have refused to accept payment for their lands, estimated to be nearly \$1 billion, which they consider their ‘sacred grounds’ and have stated they would never

relinquish.

It is, also, interesting to note that the Lakota Sioux are credited with one of the American **Indians** greatest defeat of US Military Forces in the Indian Wars by defeating the US Civil War Hero George Armstrong Custer and his Seventh Calvary in June 1876 at the battle of Little Big Horn. With these latest events, and with Russia as a potential new ally, one can only wonder if these long-suffering and resilient Indians can once again defeat their age-old enemies residing in the corridors of power in Washington D.C.

© December 20, 2007 EU and US all rights reserved.

[**Ed. Note:** The United States government actively

‘Quit Claim’

Continued From Page 19

that you are at war, you only have two choices on where to go. You must decide whose side you’re on. Are you on the side of Liberty, Independence, and the Creator, or are you on the side of the private foreign corporation that has declared that you are its enemy? What is the Enemy doing?

Answer: The enemy is usurping control over the people, breaking up families ironically through the use of the Marriage License and “parens patria”[the state is the parent, <http://www.teamlaw.org/ProtectFamily.html>], public education, and the media. The enemy is usurping “Color of Law” land control over family farms, homes, and land under the guise of Taxes, the Endangered Species Act, and the Wetlands Act. That doesn’t take into consideration all of the specious cases brought in courts against land owners. Under the Patriot Act they can call anyone a terrorist and hold them indefinitely without trial and under the Homeland Security Act they can do or take almost anything they want simply because they want to. Example, in eviction cases in Colorado, the Sheriffs Offices never use warrants to gain access to the land, they use a “Color of Law” “Writ of Restitution” instead and then say they are breaking and entering in good faith on the specious authority of the court ordered writ.

The UN has set a World maximum population at One Billion people, current world population is near Six Billion. (United Nations Environment Program-UNEP, Global Biodiversity Assessment-GBA) The enemy is killing people, worldwide with biological warfare. The death toll is already over 300,000,000 people. In state aided abortions over 40,000,000 children have been murdered in the last ten years. Population growth rates are at .83% and need to be at 2.1% just to stay even.

The bottom line: if you look at all the people around you, your neighbors, your family, etc., for every six you see only one will survive the plans of this war, if the enemy has its way. The simple fact is that we are in trouble and if we do not awaken and fix

the problem with our current trend our history will end. So what can you do?

Time to Plot Your Course

First, recognize the war is real-before it’s too late. Second, notice their given name for this war is, “The Quiet War”, that means that until recently their main weapon against us is the fact that this war didn’t look like a war. They must create the appearance of proper government procedure in order to make this war work successfully. Most of their minions are ignorant of the existence of the war and are therefore ignorantly destroying our nations thinking they’re helping their nation. Yet with the evidences over the past few years it is impossible to ignore it any longer.

Third, avoid taking any overt actions against the enemy until you’re ready and then only take legal and lawful actions to compel Corp. U.S. and the State of “X” to obey the law. The most important thing is to start studying and spreading the word. Remember, Team Law was created to wake up and educate — use us.

In your studies:

1st—Discover what your stewardship is: family, land, etc.;

2nd—Discover the status of your stewardship: Marriage License, parens patria, no land patent, assets, asset protection, etc.;

3rd—Discover working solutions to your stewardship status problems, take no action yet;

4th—Notice who’s on the enemy’s front lines against you and yours - what agencies etc. (examples: IRS, County Assessor, Court, Social Services, non-Corp. USA enemy);

5th—Discover what the enemy’s weapons of war are and how those weapons are used;

6th—Learn to disarm weapons before the enemy can use them.

7th—Prepare to take legal, lawful and legislative action.

Fourth, having discovered the truth and confirmed it with your own research, you are armed with knowledge ready to take action and mount the battle field. Because each per-

son’s situation is different, we cannot here provide how we would proceed in each situation, but in each case the best plan of action would follow this pattern:

1st—Know your enemy (see the 4th step above); Example, If your front line enemy is IRS, they are a foreign corporation contracted to Corp. U.S. as a collections agent; you must understand who they are and study the tax code that binds their operations to discover what your relationship is with them. Accordingly we would follow the Standard for Review presented on our Open Forum to understand the relationship and learn how to deal with them.

2nd—Disarm your enemy (see the 5th & 6th steps above); Example, If the only thing IRS can do is seize your property if you don’t pay, disarm them by paying (Team Law can show you how to pay and not loose a cent). If paying is not an option, the taxpayer can respond to any Notice of Deficiency or Notice of Determination with an action in the Tax Court. IRS’ own statistics show 80% of the cases brought there get relief. The way we see the situation there is no way to loose such a case if the taxpayer learns how to do it right and proceeds accordingly (again, Team Law can help);

3rd —Fight your enemy, by implementing your working solutions; Results, IRS statistics show that when people pay first and then fight IRS, they win 998 cases out of 1000, when people fight paying they loose over 90% of the time;

4th—Follow through. If the enemy violates law, any law, hold them accountable; Fact, most of the time the enemy has not educated their minions in truth or law therefore they’re very vulnerable;

5th—Inform your neighbor;

6th—Endure through the end.

www.teamlaw.org/history



VITAL SITE FOR SMALL BUSINESSES

www.explodeyourbottomline.org

nation in such that the people are concerned and worrying about how to survive and get to work, the people are looking at what ‘government’ is doing off in the shadows. Destroying more rights? Imposing more restrictions? Creating a third-world country with the coming new AMERO DOLLAR, the North-American Union with a freeway from Mexico to Canada! Hillary, Obama and McCain all talk about CHANGE! It’s coming but you ain’t gonna like it.... But you ‘U.S. citizen/subjects voted for it and will continue to do so due to being programmed to the two party system and the fraud it promotes!

seeks to find, and silence, any and all opinions about the United States except those coming from authorized government and/or affiliated sources, of which we are not one. No interviews are granted and very little personal information is given about our contributors, or their sources, to protect their safety.]

These are the only MSN sources I have found:

<http://blogs.usatoday.com/ondeadline/2007/12/lakota-withdraw.html>

<http://www.lewrockwell.com/blog/lewrw/archives/017889.html>

<http://www.clevelandleader.com/node/4214>

<http://afterarmageddon7.blogspot.com/2007/12/descendants-of-sitting-bull-crazy-horse.html>

PRISONER
SUBSCRIPTION POLICY

The American’s Bulletin will establish a subscription for any incarcerated ‘person’ at any county, state, or federal facility!

However, we must ask you to help cover the expenses, even though you are incarcerated. We require that you mail in 18 - 41 cent stamps (or any combination) to cover each issue/ publication. If your ‘Bulletin’ comes back, your ‘sub’ will be placed on hold, until further contact by you, with new address.

Either the ‘prisoner’ or his/her family may mail stamps, or remit the full payment of \$40.00 for a 6 issues –1 year subscription. We need communication from you as to change of address, status, release, etc. Prison subscriptions can continue upon release, but we need a new address!

TAKE NOTICE - Some prisoners have been denied the ‘Bulletin’ because it has been tagged ‘CONTRABAND,’ and/or that this newsletter disrupts order of facility!” Yes, Truth, Facts and Law could do just that! Are Prisons violating the First Amendment? File a complaint or sue!

NOTE: Special programs, free book offers, etc. do not apply to those who are incarcerated, due to the bare costs of a prisoner subscription and the additional costs that we would have to expend.

Our prayer is this ‘paper’ will help bring you awareness, knowledge, hope and encouragement, and a remedy and that someday you’ll be released.

SORRY, WE CANNOT SEND ‘FREE’ SAMPLE COPIES TO THOSE WHO ARE INCARCERATED- PLEASE SEND STAMPS!

NOTE; As it applies to some prisoners who can only have a government check sent in to order books and materials, those checks are accepted at the ‘Bulletin’ for book orders! Please have those checks made out to The American’s Bulletin. Thank you!

P.S.; DO NOT STICK OR GLUE POSTAGE STAMPS TO PAPER SHEET THAT CANNOT BE REMOVED!
10-17-07

Ink Stamps
- Now Available -

Blue Ink: #1 \$38.00

ACCEPTED FOR VALUE & HONOR EXEMPT FROM LEVY
For my Remedy, Release of the Proceeds, Products, Accounts, AND Features in the Order(s) to Me, Immediately in the Accordance with the Public Policy, HJR-192, UCC 10-104 and UCC 1-104
Exemption ID # _____
UCC Contract Trmt Acct. # _____
Value: \$ _____ Date: _____
/s/ _____

Blue Ink: #2 \$37.00

CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE FOR VALUE FOR TEN DAYS WITHOUT PREJUDICE FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM FOR WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED
/s/ _____
Executed _____

Purple Ink: #3 \$40.00

This document on which this certificate is affixed is
CERTIFIED
A TRUE, CORRECT, AND COMPLETE COPY of the original.

Signed _____ Date _____
Convention de la Haya du-
5 - October - 1961

Red Ink: #4 \$18.00

REFUSED FOR CAUSE
Without Dishonor/UCC 3-501

Blue Ink: #5 \$16.00

COPY

Red Ink: #6 \$16.00

PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL
NOT FOR RELEASE

Red Ink: #7 \$15.00

TRUE &
CORRECT COPY

Blue Ink: #8 \$13.00

EXHIBIT “ _____ ”

Blue Ink: #9 \$23.00

ACCEPTANCE FOR VALUE AND RETURNED FOR VALUE FOR SETTLEMENT AND CLOSURE
Date _____ Exemption # _____
By: _____

Blue Ink: #10 \$25.00

DEPOSITED FOR CREDIT ON ACCOUNT OR EXCHANGED FOR NON-REDEEMABLE FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES

Blue Ink: #11 \$30.00


DEPOSITED FOR CREDIT ON ACCOUNT or EXCHANGED for NON-REDEEMABLE FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES Without Prejudice
Account No. _____
By: _____ UCC 3-402(b)(1)

Blue Ink #12 \$36.00

ACCEPTED FOR VALUE RETURN FOR DISCHARGE Per UCC-1 Enclosed
At \$ _____ Date: _____
/s/ _____
Certified Mail # _____

Red Ink Stamp #13 \$ 22.00

NON-NEGOTIABLE
ACCEPTED FOR VALUE

Purple Ink #14 \$45.00
Secured Party Creditor
‘PRIVATE SEAL’

Red Ink: #15 \$30.00

A ‘SECURITY’ [15 USC et seq.] U.S.S.E.C. TRACER FLAG (not a point of law—under necessity, per agreement of the parties and/or in violation of Bill of Rights – 2nd para.)

Black Ink: #16 \$25.00

“special private collections”

indorsement

indorsement

Red Ink Stamp: #17 \$14.00

EXAMPLE ONLY!

Red Ink: #18 \$31.00

REFUSED FOR CAUSE
CONSENT NOT GIVEN
PERMISSION DENIED

Purple Ink: #19 \$21.00

With the Copy-Claim under Reservation By
/s/ _____

All stamps are Self-Inkers. Allow two weeks plus for delivery. Send Cash (certified) or Postal Money Order to:

American’s Bulletin
P.O. Box 3096
Central Point, Oregon. 97502

Books And Materials

ACD PACKET: Adm Claim for Damages/TORT <i>NOW with CD</i>	A84	\$ 77.00
Are You A Non-Resident Alien?	A3	\$ 10.00
Awaken Your Personal Power to Change	A206	\$ 25.00
Bank Officers Handbook of Commercial Banking Law	A63	\$ 92.00
BEFORE THE JUDGE	V15	\$ 30.00
Black's Law Dict.: 1st Ed. (Reprint)	L3	\$ 237.00
Black's Law Dict.: 2nd Ed. (Reprint)	L4	\$ 237.00
CAUSE and The CURE of The Raging WAR	A101	\$ 37.00
CLARKSON'S IND CONTRACTOR AGREEMENTS	V8	\$ 22.00
COMMERCIAL/DEBTOR-CREDITOR LAW SELECTED	WP 01	\$ 105.00
Conditional Acceptance for Value, PLUS 14 files on CD	V7	\$ 77.00
Conditional Acceptance for Value, NO CD	V7-B	\$ 37.00
Cracking the Code: The Fascinating Truth About Taxation	NH1	\$ 38.00
Credit River, Judgment - FRNS 'UNCONSTITUTIONAL'	A67	\$ 28.00
Dictionary of Banking, 6th Edition Reprint	A200	\$ 82.00
Effective Assistance of Counsel Report (EAC)	V1	\$ 44.00
ESSIAC BOOKLET Plus 1 BAG of TEA – for Cancer	V14	\$ 27.00
FOIA: Freedom of Information Act Packet	V19	\$ 22.00
Gano's Commercial Law 1920's Text Book	A202	\$ 27.00
Handbook For Special Agents	A31	\$ 34.00
Handbook On The Law of Mortgages: REPRINT	A98	\$ 82.00
I Wants To Own My Land: Expose on Allodial Land Issues	A37	\$ 33.00
In itinere by Apostill; Become a neutral within martial law	V5	\$ 27.00
INVISIBLE CONTRACTS: By George Mercier, 463 pages	A90	\$ 56.00
ISA [International Sovereign Association]	02	\$ 10.00
Jurisdiction Over Federal Areas Within States: Parts I & II	A21	\$ 34.00
Legal Opinion Request Packet	A 204	\$ 37.00
Memo In Support of Apl for Habeas Corpus, Supv Release	A 205	\$ 23.00
MEMO ON INTL BILLS OF EXCHANGE <i>New Addition</i>	A207	\$ 12.00

THE MEMORANDUMS BOOK <i>New Addition</i>	A209	\$ 25.00
Metro 1313 • The Head of The Beast?	A33	\$ 17.00
Money Brief • STOP THE JUDGMENT!	V10	\$ 77.00
Paramount Lien of the International Bankers, IRS Related	A91	\$ 17.00
Police Misconduct: Law & Litigation	A9	\$ 37.00
Press Card: The American's Bulletin - 2 year	T3	\$ 80.00
Press Card: The American's Bulletin - 5 year	T4	\$ 155.00
PRISON PACKET, The Reason Prisoners Are There!	V20	\$ 22.00
Private Commercial (Lien) Process, Study Manual + CD	A79	\$ 97.00
REDEMPTION COMPANION - Ref Source, 100 Articles	A94	\$ 47.00
Redemption Manual 4th Edition - Become a SPC	A203	\$ 115.00
Redemption Primer, The <i>New Addition</i>	A208	\$ 2.00
REDEMPTION The Cold Hard Facts By Qui Tam	A100	\$ 53.00
Secret Nutritional Formulas For Good Health By	A83	\$ 17.00
Secured Party/Creditor High Quality Plastic Photo ID Card	V4	\$ 80.00
Shetar's Effect On English Law, Mortgages in The US	01	\$ 5.00
Short History of the Bible, A - 1881 – REPRINT	A38	\$ 31.00
Special Problems In Handling Pro Se Litigation	A10	\$ 25.00
SPECIAL COUNSEL ID <i>New Addition</i>	T5	\$ 80.00
Stop The IRS - Where's Your Authority	A8	\$ 9.00
Traveling By Right "No License" Driving EXPANDED	A39	\$ 39.00
- Dismiss All Traffic Tickets CD with Traveling By Right	V6	\$ 102.00
TREZEVANT, CITY OF TAMPA – Court Case	A4	\$ 10.00
ULTIMATE REDEMPTION SEMINAR, THE [4 CD Set]	CDS1	\$ 80.00
Unconstitutionality of the 14th Amendment	V13	\$ 10.00
uSA is BANKRUPT NOW!, The - Documented Evidence	A11	\$ 22.00
VOID JUDGMENT: Manual + CD <i>New Addition</i>	A210	\$ 68.00
VULTURES 'OATH OF OFFICE' on JUDGES	V16	\$ 14.00
War Powers Act Legislation: State of National Emergency	A7	\$ 36.00

060608

Support The FREE Press - Subscribe Today !


Book(s) & Material(s) Ordering Information

FREE First Class Mail SHIPPING
On ALL Book(s) and Material(s) Orders

Please discharge your order by sending **Cash** (via certified mail) or **Money Order(s)** payable to TAB at the address below. We do not accept checks for book orders. Be sure to include your; name, email address, and phone number with your order and communications you send us in case we need to contact you. Allow four to six weeks for delivery.

The American's Bulletin
C/o P.O. Box 3096, Central Point, Oregon 97502

TAB is not responsible for lost or stolen books or materials from the P.O. or those confiscated from prisons.
- Prices subject to change without notice -



KEEP UPDATED – STAY INFORMED!

Don't Miss The Next Exciting Issue!

THE AMERICAN'S BULLETIN

C/O Post Office Box 3096 – Central Point, Oregon [97502]
Telephone 541.779.7709



YES! I would like to subscribe! Please start my subscription to **The American's Bulletin** with the 'next' issue, I have enclosed:

- ☐ \$40.00: (1) Year Subscription
☐ \$50.00: (1) Year Subscription mailed in a 'Plain Envelope'
☐ \$40.00: (1) Year PRISONER Subscription
☐ \$10.00: (1) Single "Current Issue"
☐ \$60.00: ALL (1) Year Foreign Country Subscriptions
☐ **Backs Issues:** \$12 each for any single issue 2000 – 2005 issues
Sorry, no refunds on Subscriptions

* This is a ☐ new ☐ Renewal Subscription (Please check one)

PLEASE make your payment by Postal Money Order or Check (payable to **The American's Bulletin**) or Cash – send Secured or certified!

Name: _____

Address: _____


City: _____ Zip: _____

State: _____ Phone Number: (____) _____

Email Address: _____

PLEASE tell us 'how' you heard about The American's Bulletin newspaper by checking the appropriate box below. We would like to **THANK YOU** in advance for your assistance in helping us determine how best to reach potential subscribers to The American's Bulletin:

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Friend | <input type="checkbox"/> Family member |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The American's Bulletin catalog | <input type="checkbox"/> Business Associate |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Search engine | <input type="checkbox"/> The American's Bulletin web site |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other web site: _____ | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Advertisement in : _____ | |



Send height, weight, hair and eye color, Date of Birth (for our records) first and last name only. (no middle name!) with **PASSPORT SIZE PHOTO ONLY**, and payment to

2 YR CARD @ \$75-
5 YR CARD @ \$150-

The American's Bulletin

c/o Post Office Box 3096
Central Point, Oregon [97502]

Allow Two weeks for PROCESSING.

WHEN INQUIRING ABOUT YOUR ORDER BEING SHIPPED - PLEASE INCLUDE YOUR PHONE NUMBER AND EMAIL ADDRESS WITH YOUR ORDER.

Thank you - Book & Materials Department

SUBSCRIPTION FORM

